1. 02/03/2016 - Snohomish County Charter Review Commission
   Snohomish County
   Charter Review Commission
   8th Floor Robert J. Drewel Building
   Jackson Board Room
   Wednesday, February 3 2016
   7:00 p.m. – 9:00 p.m.
   AGENDA

   7:00 p.m. Call to Order

   Flag Salute

   Roll Call

   Agenda Order

   Special Presentation:
   Open Public Meeting Compliance by Deputy Prosecuting Attorney Sara Di Vittorio (2016-01)

   Guests: (7:30 p.m.)
   1. Sheriff Ty Trenary
   2. Auditor Carolyn Weikel

   Public Comments (8:00 p.m.)

   Approval of the Minutes: January 20, 2016

   Report from Chair

   Business Items
   1. 8:20 p.m. Procedures for Amendment Proposal Committee Report (2016-05)
   2. 8:50 p.m. Staff Hiring Committee Report (2016-02)

   Old Business
   New Business

   9:00 p.m. Adjournment

   Future Agenda Topics

   • Round Table Discussion of Amendment Ideas

   FYI Attachments

   • Finalized Commission Rules and Procedures
   • Extended Agenda

   [NOTE: Times shown on Agenda are approximate]

   Documents: 2016_0203AGENDA.PDF

2. 02/03/2016 - OPMA Compliance

   SNOHOMISH COUNTY CHARTER REVIEW COMMISSION AGENDA ITEM 2016-01

   SUBJECT TITLE:
   Open Public Meetings, Records and Training Requirements

   Meeting Date:
   February 3, 2016

   Estimated Presentation Time:
   
   Exhibits:
RECOMMENDATION: For information only.

SUMMARY:
Deputy Prosecuting Attorney Sara Di Vittorio will present a training on the open public meetings and public records regulations.

According to Washington State Statute, the Charter Review Commission is required to comply with the State Open Public Meetings Act, the State Open Public Records Act, and the State Open Government Training Act. The Open Government Training Act requires elected officials, including Charter Review Commissioners, to participate in training to improve trust in government and reduce liability through education and compliance about the principles of open government.

Bring a copy of the enclosed certificate to sign and certify that your training has been completed. The County will keep the signed certificates on file.

ALTERNATIVES: NA

Documents: 2016-01OPMACOMPLIANCE.PDF

2.1 02/03/2016 · Staff Hiring

**SNOHOMISH COUNTY CHARTER REVIEW COMMISSION AGENDA ITEM 2016-02**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUBJECT TITLE:</th>
<th>Meeting Date:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Staff Hiring Report</td>
<td>February 3, 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated Presentation Time:</th>
<th>Exhibits:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 minutes</td>
<td>1. Final Charter Review Commission Clerk Job Description</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RECOMMENDATION: None, this is for information and discussion only.

SUMMARY:
A staff hiring committee of Chair Gregerson, Vice-Chairs Terwilliger and Miller, and Commissioners Fior and Chase discussed and approved a job description. Clerk Debbie Eco posted the description. Chair Gregerson issued a press release, which resulted in Herald coverage and some local newspaper coverage. The posting closes on January 31st. To date, we have received 4 applications, with phone calls requesting information from an additional applicant.

The staff hiring committee plans to schedule interviews at least by February 12, preferably they will have been scheduled for the week of February 1.

ALTERNATIVES: Suggest an alternative process

Documents: 2016-02STAFFHIRING.PDF

2.1i 02/03/2016 · Proposed Changes To County Charter

Proposed Revisions to County Charter

Sections 4.50 through 4.70 related to Council Districting
Background

Every 10 years the federal government prepares a census. State law and county code requires that the boundaries of county council districts be redrawn (districted) so that each district is equal in total population based on the census information. Sections 4.50 through 4.70 of the county charter outlines the process by which the redrawing of council districts will occur.

The county charter calls for the establishment of a districting committee through the nomination of members by the two major political parties and the appointment of four members by the county council. The charter then establishes the steps the districting committee goes through to identify and appoint a chair, to identify and select a districting master and to review and adopt a plan. It also outlines the process by which county council adopts the plan filed by the districting committee.

Suggestions

The Snohomish County Auditor’s Office provided staff and support to the county council and districting committee following the 2010 federal census. As a result of this experience, the Auditor’s Office has some suggestions regarding sections 4.50 through 4.70 of the charter that the Charter Review Commission may wish to consider.

Districting Timeline

The most significant area of concern is the districting timeline established in the county charter. The charter requires a series of steps be taken and then allows for a certain period of time to complete each step. The process is bound on the front end by the date when the county receives the census data from the state districting committee and on the backend by the firm date of June 1st in the year the census data is received for the county council to adopt by ordinance a districting plan.

When these dates and timelines were established, Washington’s primary was held in the middle of September, candidate filing was held in July and the last day the county could make changes to its precinct boundaries was in June. Washington’s primary is now held in early August, candidate filing occurs in mid-May and the last day to make changes to precinct boundaries under state law is two weeks prior to candidate filing (late April or early May depending on the calendar year).

It also appears that the original assumption was that census data would be received by the county in early January. The county does not control when the census data is received. This in fact caused significant issues following the 2010 census. The data was not received from the state until April 6, 2011, making it impossible to follow the timeline established in the county charter.

The Auditor’s Office suggests that the timeline issues be addressed by:

- eliminating the June 1 deadline; and
- establishing a new deadline for the effective date “no less than 30 days prior to the last day for precinct changes established by law.”

This change would ensure that there is adequate time to implement changes prior to candidate filing as required by law. It also reduces the impact of future state law changes.

The Auditor’s Office also suggests allowing the appointment of the districting committee members and the districting master to occur prior to receiving the census data from the state, so that the committee and the master could begin their work as soon as the data is received.

Using Consistent Units of Time

The Auditor’s Office suggests updating the charter to use “days” as a consistent unit of time in the districting sections. The charter currently uses days, weeks and months in these sections. Using “days” would eliminate confusion.

Clarifying vote requirements for actions

During the 2011 districting process, several questions were raised about the voting requirements for various actions. For example, does the districting committee unanimously appoint its chair or the districting master or is simple majority support sufficient? The charter review commission may wish to address this issue in
the charter or continue to leave these operating decisions to the districting committee.

Clarifying ability of the committee and/or the county council to change the districting plan

In 2011 the districting plan prepared by the districting master and passed by the districting commission was considered, but ultimately the county council chose to adopt an alternate districting plan. The charter review commission may wish to clarify whether or not the council can amend the filed plan or adopt an alternate districting plan.

Additional suggestions for readability
The Auditor’s Office suggests additional changes to the charter section on districting to improve readability.

A version with all suggested changes tracked is included below.

**Section 4.50 District Boundaries**

The boundaries of each council district shall be established as nearly as practical in accordance with the criteria promulgated by state law.

**Section 4.60 Districting Committee**

Within thirty days after each in anticipation of receiving the federal decennial census data received from the state redistricting commission or its successor, a five-member districting committee shall be appointed. The county council shall appoint four persons to the five-member districting committee, two from each major political party from a list of five submitted by the party’s central committee. The four council-appointed members shall meet within fourteen days to appoint by simple majority vote the fifth member who shall be chair. Members of the districting committee shall serve without salary but shall be compensated for reasonable-out-of-pocket expenses. The districting committee shall within thirty days of the appointment of its chair, meet and appoint by a simple majority vote a districting master who shall be qualified by education, training, and experience to draw a redistricting plan. If the districting committee is unable to agree upon the appointment of a districting master within thirty days, the county council shall appoint a districting master.

**Section 4.70 Districting Plan**

Within one month thirty days after appointment or after receiving the census data from the state redistricting commission or its successor, whichever occurs later, the districting master shall draw a districting plan for the county which shall be submitted to the districting committee for adoption. The districting committee may amend the plan by four affirmative votes. Following the districting committee shall hold a public hearing at least one week seven days in advance of adopting the districting plan. The districting committee shall adopt the districting plan within thirty days as submitted or as amended by four affirmative votes of the committee members. Upon adoption, the plan shall be filed with the county council by the districting committee. No later than the first day of June following the receipt of the census data from the state, within thirty days of receipt from the districting committee, the county council shall adopt by ordinance, without change, the districting plan. The plan shall be effective no less than thirty days prior to the last day for precinct changes as established by state law.

Documents: PROPOSED_CHANGES_TO_COUNTY_CHARTER.PDF

2.II. 02/03/2016 - Proposed Re-Districting Timelines

Snohomish County Elections

Proposed Revisions to the County Charter Council Districting Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Relative Date Deadline</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>County Council appoints first four members</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Snohomish County Charter Review Commission

#### Agenda Items

- **2.I.**  
  - **January 2016**
  - **February 3, 2016**
  - **March 2016**
  - **April 2016**
  - **May 2016**
  - **June 2016**

### Agenda Committee

**Members:**
- Chair Gregerson
- Vice Chair
- Commissioner

**Staff:**
- Districting Committee

#### Agenda Structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column</th>
<th>Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>A + 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>B + 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>C + 30 days or D + 30, whichever occurs later</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>G - 7 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>E + 30 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>G + 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>L - 30 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>M - 2 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>2 weeks before Memorial Day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Notes

- **Special Presentation:**  
  - **Flag Salute**  
  - **Jackson Board Room**  
  - **Snohomish County**  
  - **30 minutes**

**Exhibits:**

- February 3, 2016
- Certificate of Training

**Documents:**

- **PROPOSED_RE-DISTRICTING_TIMELINES.PDF**

---

Lines A through I are activities outlined in proposed changes to Snohomish County Charter sec. 4.50 – 4.70 related to county council districting.
eliminating the June 1 deadline; and

training about the principles of open government.

The Open Government Training Act requires elected officials, including Charter Review Board members, to attend training on the State Open Meetings Act, the State Open Public Records Act, and the State Open Government Records Act. The training will cover the requirements for public records, meetings, and elections.

SUMMARY:

RECOMMENDATION:

Future Agenda Topics

1. Sheriff Ty Trenary

2. 8:50 p.m. Staff Hiring Committee Report (2016)

Approval of the Minutes: January 20, 2016

Special Presentation:

Jackson Board Room
Snohomish County

Lines

Proposed Revisions to the Districting Committee's work on the 2020 reapportionment plan.

Documents:

- Section
- Districting
- Suggestions
- OPMA Compliance
- PROPOSED_REVISIONS
- DISTRICTING_TIMELINES.PDF

The Districting Committee shall hold a meeting to discuss the proposed revisions to the 2020 reapportionment plan. The committee shall also address any concerns or suggestions raised by the public.

This meeting is scheduled for the 1st week of February.
7:00 p.m. Call to Order
Flag Salute
Roll Call
Agenda Order

Guests: (7:30 p.m.)
  1. Sheriff Ty Trenary
  2. Auditor Carolyn Weikel

Public Comments (8:00 p.m.)
Approval of the Minutes: January 20, 2016
Report from Chair
Business Items
  1. 8:20 p.m. Procedures for Amendment Proposal Committee Report (2016-05)
  2. 8:50 p.m. Staff Hiring Committee Report (2016-02)

Old Business

New Business

9:00 p.m. Adjournment

Future Agenda Topics
  • Round Table Discussion of Amendment Ideas
FYI Attachments
  • Finalized Commission Rules and Procedures
  • Extended Agenda

[NOTE: Times shown on Agenda are approximate]
**SNOHOMISH COUNTY CHARTER REVIEW COMMISSION AGENDA ITEM 2016-01**

**SUBJECT TITLE:**
Open Public Meetings, Records and Training Requirements

**Meeting Date:**
February 3, 2016

**Estimated Presentation Time:**
30 minutes

**Exhibits:**
1. Certificate of Training

**RECOMMENDATION:** For information only.

**SUMMARY:**
Deputy Prosecuting Attorney Sara Di Vittorio will present a training on the open public meetings and public records regulations.

According to Washington State Statute, the Charter Review Commission is required to comply with the State Open Public Meetings Act, the State Open Public Records Act, and the State Open Government Training Act. The Open Government Training Act requires elected officials, including Charter Review Commissioners, to participate in training to improve trust in government and reduce liability through education and compliance about the principles of open government.

Bring a copy of the enclosed certificate to sign and certify that your training has been completed. The County will keep the signed certificates on file.

**ALTERNATIVES:** NA
### SNOHOMISH COUNTY CHARTER REVIEW COMMISSION AGENDA ITEM 2016-02

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUBJECT TITLE:</th>
<th>Meeting Date:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Staff Hiring Report</td>
<td>February 3, 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated Presentation Time:</th>
<th>Exhibits:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 minutes</td>
<td>1. Final Charter Review Commission Clerk Job Description</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RECOMMENDATION:** None, this is for information and discussion only.

**SUMMARY:**
A staff hiring committee of Chair Gregerson, Vice-Chairs Terwilliger and Miller, and Commissioners Fior and Chase discussed and approved a job description. Clerk Debbie Eco posted the description. Chair Gregerson issued a press release, which resulted in Herald coverage and some local newspaper coverage. The posting closes on January 31st. To date, we have received 4 applications, with phone calls requesting information from an additional applicant.

The staff hiring committee plans to schedule interviews at least by February 12, preferably they will have been scheduled for the week of February 1.

**ALTERNATIVES:** Suggest an alternative process
Background

Every 10 years the federal government prepares a census. State law and county code requires that the boundaries of county council districts be redrawn (districted) so that each district is equal in total population based on the census information. Sections 4.50 through 4.70 of the county charter outlines the process by which the redrawing of council districts will occur.

The county charter calls for the establishment of a districting committee through the nomination of members by the two major political parties and the appointment of four members by the county council. The charter then establishes the steps the districting committee goes through to identify and appoint a chair, to identify and select a districting master and to review and adopt a plan. It also outlines the process by which county council adopts the plan filed by the districting committee.

Suggestions

The Snohomish County Auditor’s Office provided staff and support to the county council and districting committee following the 2010 federal census. As a result of this experience, the Auditor’s Office has some suggestions regarding sections 4.50 through 4.70 of the charter that the Charter Review Commission may wish to consider.

Districting Timeline

The most significant area of concern is the districting timeline established in the county charter. The charter requires a series of steps be taken and then allows for a certain period of time to complete each step. The process is bound on the front end by the date when the county receives the census data from the state districting committee and on the backend by the firm date of June 1st in the year the census data is received for the county council to adopt by ordinance a districting plan.

When these dates and timelines were established, Washington’s primary was held in the middle of September, candidate filing was held in July and the last day the county could make changes to its precinct boundaries was in June. Washington’s primary is now held in early August, candidate filing occurs in mid- May and the last day to make changes to precinct boundaries under state law is two weeks prior to candidate filing (late April or early May depending on the calendar year).

It also appears that the original assumption was that census data would be received by the county in early January. The county does not control when the census data is received. This in fact caused significant issues following the 2010 census. The data was not received from the
state until April 6, 2011, making it impossible to follow the timeline established in the county charter.

The Auditor’s Office suggests that the timeline issues be addressed by:
- eliminating the June 1 deadline; and
- establishing a new deadline for the effective date “no less than 30 days prior to the last day for precinct changes established by law.”

This change would ensure that there is adequate time to implement changes prior to candidate filing as required by law. It also reduces the impact of future state law changes.

The Auditor’s Office also suggests allowing the appointment of the districting committee members and the districting master to occur prior to receiving the census data from the state, so that the committee and the master could begin their work as soon as the data is received.

**Using Consistent Units of Time**

The Auditor’s Office suggests updating the charter to use “days” as a consistent unit of time in the districting sections. The charter currently uses days, weeks and months in these sections. Using “days” would eliminate confusion.

**Clarifying vote requirements for actions**

During the 2011 districting process, several questions were raised about the voting requirements for various actions. For example, does the districting committee unanimously appoint its chair or the districting master or is simple majority support sufficient? The charter review commission may wish to address this issue in the charter or continue to leave these operating decisions to the districting committee.

**Clarifying ability of the committee and/or the county council to change the districting plan**

In 2011 the districting plan prepared by the districting master and passed by the districting commission was considered, but ultimately the county council chose to adopt an alternate districting plan. The charter review commission may wish to clarify whether or not the council can amend the filed plan or adopt an alternate districting plan.

**Additional suggestions for readability**

The Auditor’s Office suggests additional changes to the charter section on districting to improve readability.

A version with all suggested changes tracked is included below.
Proposed Revisions to County Charter
Sections 4.50 through 4.70 related to Council Districting

Section 4.50 District Boundaries
The boundaries of each council district shall be established as nearly as practical in accordance with the criteria promulgated by state law.

Section 4.60 Districting Committee
Within thirty days after each federal decennial census data is received from the state redistricting commission or its successor, a five-member districting committee shall be appointed. The county council shall appoint four persons to the districting committee, two from each major political party from a list of five submitted by the party’s central committee. The four council-appointed members shall meet within fourteen days to appoint the fifth member who shall be chair. Members of the districting committee shall serve without salary but shall be compensated for reasonable-out-of-pocket expenses. The districting committee shall within thirty days of the appointment of its chair, meet and appoint a districting master who shall be qualified by education, training and experience to draw a redistricting plan. If the districting committee is unable to agree upon the appointment of a districting master within thirty days, the county council shall appoint a districting master.

Section 4.70 Districting Plan
Within thirty days after appointment or after receiving the census data from the state redistricting commission or its successor, whichever occurs later, the districting master shall draw a districting plan for the county which shall be submitted to the districting committee for adoption. The districting committee may amend the plan by four affirmative votes. Following the appointment of a districting master who shall be qualified by education, training and experience to draw a redistricting plan. If the districting committee is unable to agree upon the appointment of a districting master within thirty days, the county council shall appoint a districting master.

Within one month after appointment or after receiving the census data from the state redistricting commission or its successor, whichever occurs later, the districting master shall draw a districting plan for the county which shall be submitted to the districting committee for adoption. The districting committee may amend the plan by four affirmative votes. Following the appointment of a districting master who shall be qualified by education, training and experience to draw a redistricting plan. If the districting committee is unable to agree upon the appointment of a districting master within thirty days, the county council shall appoint a districting master.

The districting committee shall adopt the districting plan within thirty days as submitted or as amended by four affirmative votes of the committee members. Upon adoption, the plan shall be filed with the county council by the districting committee. No later than the first day of June following the receipt of the census data from the state, the county council shall adopt by ordinance, without change, the districting plan. The plan shall be effective no less than thirty days prior to the last day for precinct changes as established by state law.
### Snohomish County Elections

**Proposed Revisions to the County Charter Council Districting Timeline**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Relative Date Deadline</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>County Council appoints first four members to the Districting Committee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>A + 14</td>
<td>Districting Committee appoints fifth member to serve as chair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>B + 30</td>
<td>Districting Committee appoints a Districting Master</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td>Census data received from State Redistricting Committee</td>
<td>Date D may occur any time before date E and not necessarily after dates A, B and C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>C+ 30 days or D + 30, whichever occurs later</td>
<td>Districting Master draws and submits plan to Districting Committee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>G – 7 days</td>
<td>Districting Committee holds public hearing on proposed plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>E + 30 days</td>
<td>Districting Committee adopts plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>G + 30</td>
<td>County Council adopts districting plan by ordinance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>L – 30 days</td>
<td>Last possible effective date of districting plan ordinance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td></td>
<td>Precinct lines redrawn based on districting plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td></td>
<td>Submit precinct changes to County Council for adoption</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>M – 2 weeks</td>
<td>Last day to set precinct boundaries before primary and general election</td>
<td>End of April/Beginning of May depending on the calendar year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>2 weeks before Memorial Day</td>
<td>First day of candidate filing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lines A through I are activities outlined in proposed changes to Snohomish County Charter sec. 4.50 – 4.70 related to county council districting.