BEFORE THE
SNOHOMISH COUNTY HEARING EXAMINER

DECISION of the DEPUTY HEARING EXAMINER

In the Matter of the Application of  )
) FILE NO. 04 111441
HIGHLANDS RESERVE, LLC (Mike Oberg) )
) )
49-lot Rural Cluster Subdivision (RCS) on 173 acres )

DATE OF DECISION: November 17, 2005

DECISION (SUMMARY): The proposed, phased, rural cluster subdivision of 173 acres into 49 lots is CONDITIONALLY APPROVED.

BASIC INFORMATION

GENERAL LOCATION: The property is located on the east side of Newberg Road, approximately 1,500 feet south of its intersection with 40th Place NE.

ACREAGE: 173 acres

NUMBER OF LOTS: 49

AVERAGE LOT SIZE: 34,186 square feet

MINIMUM LOT SIZE: 20,005 square feet

DENSITY: .28 du/ac (gross)

ZONING: Rural-5 Acre (R-5)

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN DESIGNATION:

General Policy Plan Designation: Rural Residential – 5 (1 dwelling unit/5 acres)
Subarea Plan: Granite Falls
Subarea Plan Designation: Rural (1 du/5 ac)
UTILITIES:
   Water: Snohomish County PUD No. 1
   Sewer: Individual

SCHOOL DISTRICT: Snohomish No. 201

FIRE DISTRICT: No. 8

SELECTED AGENCY RECOMMENDATIONS:
   Department of:
      Planning and Development Services (PDS): Approval subject to conditions
      Public Works (DPW): Approval subject to conditions

INTRODUCTION

The applicant filed the Master Application on October 14, 2004. (Exhibit 1)

The Department of Planning and Development Services (PDS) gave proper public notice of the open record hearing as required by the county code. (Exhibits 27, 28 and 29)

A SEPA determination was made on September 29, 2005. (Exhibit 26) No appeal was filed.

The Examiner held an open record hearing on November 9, 2005, the 129th day of the 120-day decision making period. Witnesses were sworn, testimony was presented, and exhibits were entered at the hearing.

PUBLIC HEARING

The public hearing commenced on November 9, 2005 at 1:01 p.m.

1. The Examiner announced that he had read the PDS staff report, reviewed the file and viewed the area and therefore was generally apprised of the particular request involved.

2. The applicant, Highlands Reserve, LLC, (Mike Oberg) was represented by Laurey Tobiason of Tobiason & Company, Inc. Snohomish County was represented by David Radabaugh of the Department of Planning & Development Services and by Mark Brown of the Department of Public Works.

3. No member of the general public attended the hearing. Vicinity residents Celia and David Eizik by letter (Exhibit 32) assert that Newberg Road is poorly designed to handle increased traffic, that existing homes are served by private wells threatened by the proposed density of homes, and that Dubuque Creek already nearly floods after storms. The applicant and the staff report address those issues as noted below herein.

   The hearing concluded at 1:24 p.m.

NOTE: The above information summarizes the information submitted to the Examiner at the hearing. However, for a full and complete record, electronic recordings of the hearing are available in the Office of the Hearing Examiner.
FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND DECISION

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on all the evidence of record, the following findings of fact are entered.

1. The master list of exhibits and witnesses which is a part of this file and which exhibits were considered by the Examiner, is hereby made a part of this file as if set forth in full herein.

2. The subject site of 173 vacant, wooded acres contains steep slopes, 32 wetlands and 14 streams. Two of the wetlands are Category 1. Twenty of the wetlands are Category 3 requiring buffers. Ten are Category 3 of less than 5000 square feet, which can be filled if wetland function is replaced in mitigation. Of the 14 streams, four are presumed Bull Trout habitat, requiring a 150-foot buffer. Two streams are Type 4 requiring a 50-foot buffer. Eight streams are Type 5 requiring a 25-foot buffer. The Department of Planning & Development Services reviewed the Critical Areas Study and Mitigation and concludes that the project complies with the critical areas regulations. The Examiner concurs.

3. Storm runoff will be to five detention ponds. The detention for the first phase will be oversized in order to also accommodate runoff from subsequent phases. Thus, it is critical that the phases be sequential, as proposed. Silt fences and straw bales will protect water quality during construction pursuant to a Temporary Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan.

4. The Snohomish Health District by letter (Exhibit 33) supports the proposed septic waste water system. Exhibit 45 documents receipt of a preliminary certificate of water availability. Electricity will be provided by Snohomish County Public Utility District No. 1 (Exhibit 36).

5. The proposal complies with the rural cluster subdivision standards of SCC 30.41C by retaining approximately 126.6 acres (73% of the site) in restricted open space governed by a management plan administered by a homeowners’ association. All utilities will be underground. The proposal meets all requirements as to bulk, lot yield and bonus density.

6. The DPW reviewed the request with regard to traffic mitigation and road design standards. This review covered Title 13 SCC and Chapter 30.66B SCC (Title 26B SCC) as to road system capacity, concurrency, inadequate road conditions, frontage improvements, access and circulation, and dedication/deeding of right-of-way, state highway impacts, impacts on other streets and roads, and Transportation Demand Management. As a result of this review, the DPW has determined that the development is concurrent and has no objection to the requests subject to various conditions.

7. The project would comply with park mitigation requirements under Chapter 30.66A SCC (Title 26A SCC) by the payment of $861.00 for each new single-family home.

8. School mitigation requirements under Chapter 30.66C SCC (Title 26C SCC) have been reviewed and set forth in the conditions.

9. The PDS Engineering Division has reviewed the concept of the proposed grading and drainage and recommends approval of the project subject to conditions, which would be imposed during full detailed drainage plan review pursuant to Chapter 30.63A SCC (Title 24 SCC).
10. The subject property is designated Rural Residential -5 on the GPP Future Land Use map, and is not located within an Urban Growth Area (UGA). It is not located within a mapped Growth Phasing Overlay. According to the GPP, the Rural Residential-5 designation applies to lands which were previously designated Rural by various subarea plans and have been subsequently zoned R-5. The implementing zone in this designation will continue to be the R-5 zone.

11. The proposed use (single-family detached development) is essentially compatible with existing single-family detached developments on larger lots. A comparison with the present lower density character of much of the area is inappropriate since the present density of development in much of the surrounding area is inconsistent with both the adopted comprehensive plans and the present zoning. Duplexes will be allowed as a minor revision subject to administrative review.

12. The request complies with the Snohomish County Subdivision Code, Chapter 30.41A SCC (Title 19 SCC) as well as the State Subdivision Code, RCW 58.17. The proposed plat complies with the established criteria therein and makes the appropriate provisions for public, health, safety and general welfare, for open spaces, drainage ways, streets or roads, alleys, other public ways, transit stops, potable water supplies, sanitary wastes, parks and recreation, playgrounds, schools and school grounds, and other planning features including safe walking conditions for students.

13. The request is consistent with Section 30.70.100 SCC (Section 32.50.100 SCC), which requires, pursuant to RCW 36.70B.040, that all project permit applications be consistent with the GMACP, and GMA-based county codes.

14. Any finding of fact in this decision which should be deemed a conclusion is hereby adopted as such.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Based on the findings of fact entered above, the following conclusions of law are entered.

1. The Examiner having fully reviewed the PDS staff report, hereby adopts said staff report as properly setting forth the issues, the land use requests, consistency with the existing regulations, policies, principles, conditions and their effect upon the request. It is therefore hereby adopted by the Examiner as a conclusion as if set forth in full herein, in order to avoid needless repetition.

2. The Department of Public Works recommends that the request be approved as to traffic use subject to conditions specified below herein.

3. The request is consistent with the (1) GMACP, GMA-based County codes, (2) the type and character of land use permitted on the site, (3) the permitted density, and(4) the applicable design and development standards.

4. The request should be approved subject to compliance by the applicant with the following conditions:

CONDITIONS

A. The preliminary plat received by the Department of Planning and Development Services on August 3, 2005 (Exhibit 19) approved plat configuration. Changes to the approved plat are governed by SCC 30.41A.330.
B. Prior to initiation of any further site work; and/or prior to issuance of any development/construction permits by the county:

i. All site development work shall comply with the requirements of the plans and permits approved pursuant to Condition A, above.

ii. The plottor shall mark with temporary markers in the field the boundary of all Native Growth Protection Areas (NGPA) required by Chapter 30.62 SCC, or the limits of the proposed site disturbance outside of the NGPA, using methods and materials acceptable to the county.

iii. A revised detention pond landscape plan shall be provided for the Department of Planning and Development Services review and approval that provides Type A landscaping or a living fence around the entire perimeter of the detention pond exclusive of the maintenance access.

iv. A landscape modification shall be obtained or landscaping consistent with the requirements of SCC 30.25.023 shall be provided for each detention pond.

v. A final mitigation plan based on the Critical Area Study, Conceptual Mitigation and Habitat Management Plan for The Highlands Reserve RCS, prepared by GECCO dated May 23, 2005 in conjunction with the Addendum to the Critical Area Study and Conceptual Mitigation for the Highlands Reserve RCS dated July 22, 2005 shall be submitted for review and approval during the construction review phase of this project.

C. The following additional restrictions and/or items shall be indicated on the face of the final plat:

i. “The lots within this subdivision will be subject to school impact mitigation fees for the Snohomish School District No. 201 to be determined by the certified amount within the Base Fee Schedule in effect at the time of building permit application, and to be collected prior to building permit issuance, in accordance with the provisions of SCC 30.66C.010. Credit shall be given for one existing parcel. Lot 1 shall receive credit.”

ii. Chapter 30.66B SCC requires the new lot mitigation payments in the amounts shown below for each single-family residential building permit:

$3,521.76 per lot for mitigation of impacts on county roads paid to the County,

These payments are due prior to or at the time of building permit issuance for each single-family residence. Notice of these mitigation payments shall be contained in any deeds involving this subdivision of the lot(s) therein. Once building permit has been issued all mitigation payments shall be deemed paid.

iii. All Critical Areas shall be designated Native Growth Protection Areas (NGPA) (unless other agreements have been made) with the following language on the face of the plat;

"All NATIVE GROWTH PROTECTION AREAS shall be left permanently undisturbed in a substantially natural state. No clearing, grading, filling, building construction or placement, or road construction of any kind shall occur, except removal of hazardous trees. The activities as set forth in SCC 32.10.110(29)(a), (c), and (d) are allowed when approved by the County.”
iv. The landscape buffers shall be maintained as vegetated buffers. The entire buffer width shall be maintained with sight obscuring vegetation.

v. The lots in this subdivision may qualify as duplex lots by minor plat revision per Snohomish County Code.

vi. The forest management disclosure text in SCC 30.32A.220 shall be included of the face of the final plat.

D. Prior to recording of the final plat:

i. The final wetland mitigation plan shall be completely implemented.

ii. Rural frontage improvements shall be constructed along the parcel’s frontage on Newburg Road to the specifications of the DPW.

iii. Native Growth Protection Area boundaries (NGPA) shall have been permanently marked on the site prior to final inspection by the county, with both NGPA signs and adjacent markers which can be magnetically located (e.g.: rebar, pipe, 20 penny nails, etc.). The platter may use other permanent methods and materials provided they are first approved by the county. Where an NGPA boundary crosses another boundary (e.g.: lot, tract, plat, road, etc.), a rebar marker with surveyors’ cap and license number must be placed at the line crossing. NGPA signs shall have been placed no greater than 100 feet apart around the perimeter of the NGPA. Minimum placement shall include one Type 1 sign per wetland, and at least one Type 1 sign shall be placed in any lot that borders the NGPA, unless otherwise approved by the county biologist. The design and proposed locations for the NGPA signs shall be submitted to the Land Use Division for review and approval prior to installation.

iv. Covenants, deeds and homeowners association bylaws and other documents as appropriate, to be recorded prior to, or simultaneously with, final plat recording shall have been approved as to substance and completeness by the Department of Planning and Development Services, and shall at a minimum:

a. Establish all restricted open space as shown on the approved preliminary plat in separate tracts.

b. Establish a Homeowner’s Association, guaranteeing maintenance of restricted open space in as much as such maintenance is required by the Open Space Management Plan, Exhibit 9.

v. The Department of Planning and Development Services staff shall confirm that the sight obscuring buffer continues to perform its sight obscuring function.

vi. The developer shall pay the County $861.00 per new dwelling unit as mitigation for parks and recreation impacts in accordance with Chapter 30.66A SCC; provided, however, the developer may elect to postpone payment of the mitigation requirement until issuance of a building permit for that lot. The election to postpone payment shall be noted by a covenant placed on the face of the recorded plat and included in the deed for each affected lot within the subdivision.
E. In conformity with applicable standards and timing requirements:

   i. The approved landscape plan shall be implemented. All required detention facility landscaping shall be installed in accordance with the approved landscape plan.

F. All development activity shall conform to the requirements of Chapter 30.63A SCC.

Nothing in this permit/approval excuses the applicant, owner, lessee, agent, successor or assigns from compliance with any other federal, state or local statutes, ordinances or regulations applicable to this project.

Preliminary plats which are approved by the county are valid for five (5) years from the date of approval and must be recorded within that time period unless an extension has been properly requested and granted pursuant to SCC 30.41A.300.

5. Any conclusion in this decision which should be deemed a finding of fact is hereby adopted as such.

**DECISION**

Based on the findings of fact and conclusions of law entered above, the decision of the Hearing Examiner on the application is as follows:

The request for a 49-lot rural cluster subdivision on 173 acres is hereby **CONDITIONALLY APPROVED**, subject to the conditions set forth in Conclusion No. 4 above.

Decision issued this 17th day of November, 2005.

_______________________________
Ed Good, Deputy Hearing Examiner

**EXPLANATION OF RECONSIDERATION AND APPEAL PROCEDURES**

The decision of the Hearing Examiner is final and conclusive with right of appeal to the County Council. However, reconsideration by the Examiner may also be sought by one or more parties of record. The following paragraphs summarize the reconsideration and appeal processes. For more information about reconsideration and appeal procedures, please see Chapter 30.72 SCC and the respective Examiner and Council Rules of Procedure.

**Reconsideration**

Any party of record may request reconsideration by the Examiner. A petition for reconsideration must be filed in writing with the Office of the Hearing Examiner, 2nd Floor, County Administration-East Building, 3000 Rockefeller Avenue, Everett, Washington, (Mailing Address: M/S #405, 3000 Rockefeller Avenue, Everett WA 98201) on or before **NOVEMBER 28, 2005**. There is no fee for filing a petition for reconsideration. “The petitioner for reconsideration shall mail or otherwise provide a copy of the petition for reconsideration to all parties of record on the date of filing.” [SCC 30.72.065]
A petition for reconsideration does not have to be in a special form but must: contain the name, mailing address and daytime telephone number of the petitioner, together with the signature of the petitioner or of the petitioner’s attorney, if any; identify the specific findings, conclusions, actions and/or conditions for which reconsideration is requested; state the relief requested; and, where applicable, identify the specific nature of any newly discovered evidence and/or changes proposed by the applicant.

The grounds for seeking reconsideration are limited to the following:

(a) The Hearing Examiner exceeded the Hearing Examiner’s jurisdiction;
(b) The Hearing Examiner failed to follow the applicable procedure in reaching the Hearing Examiner’s decision;
(c) The Hearing Examiner committed an error of law;
(d) The Hearing Examiner’s findings, conclusions and/or conditions are not supported by the record;
(e) New evidence which could not reasonably have been produced and which is material to the decision is discovered; or
(f) The applicant proposed changes to the application in response to deficiencies identified in the decision.

Petitions for reconsideration will be processed and considered by the Hearing Examiner pursuant to the provisions of SCC 30.72.065. Please include the County file number in any correspondence regarding this case.

Appeal

An appeal to the County Council may be filed by any aggrieved party of record. Where the reconsideration process of SCC 30.72.065 has been invoked, no appeal may be filed until the reconsideration petition has been disposed of by the hearing examiner. An aggrieved party need not file a petition for reconsideration but may file an appeal directly to the County Council. If a petition for reconsideration is filed, issues subsequently raised by that party on appeal to the County Council shall be limited to those issues raised in the petition for reconsideration. Appeals shall be addressed to the Snohomish County Council but shall be filed in writing with the Department of Planning and Development Services, 2nd Floor, County Administration-East Building, 3000 Rockefeller Avenue, Everett, Washington (Mailing address: M/S #604, 3000 Rockefeller Avenue, Everett, WA 98201) on or before **DECEMBER 1, 2005** and shall be accompanied by a filing fee in the amount of five hundred dollars ($500.00); PROVIDED, that the filing fee shall not be charged to a department of the County or to other than the first appellant; and PROVIDED FURTHER, that the filing fee shall be refunded in any case where an appeal is dismissed without hearing because of untimely filing, lack of standing, lack of jurisdiction or other procedural defect. [SCC 30.72.070]

An appeal must contain the following items in order to be complete: a detailed statement of the grounds for appeal; a detailed statement of the facts upon which the appeal is based, including citations to specific Hearing Examiner findings, conclusions, exhibits or oral testimony; written arguments in support of the appeal; the name, mailing address and daytime telephone number of each appellant, together with the signature of at least one of the appellants or of the attorney for the appellant(s), if any; the name, mailing address, daytime telephone number and signature of the appellants’s agent or representative, if any; and the required filing fee.
The grounds for filing an appeal shall be limited to the following:

(a) The decision exceeded the Hearing Examiner’s jurisdiction;

(b) The Hearing Examiner failed to follow the applicable procedure in reaching his decision;

(c) The Hearing Examiner committed an error of law; or

(d) The Hearing Examiner’s findings, conclusions and/or conditions are not supported by substantial evidence in the record. [SCC 30.72.080]

Appeals will be processed and considered by the County Council pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 30.72 SCC. Please include the County file number in any correspondence regarding the case.

Staff Distribution:

Department of Planning and Development Services: David Radabaugh
Department of Public Works: Mark Brown

The following statement is provided pursuant to RCW 36.70B.130: “Affected property owners may request a change in valuation for property tax purposes notwithstanding any program of revaluation.” A copy of this Decision is being provided to the Snohomish County Assessor as required by RCW 36.70B.130.