BEFORE THE
SNOHOMISH COUNTY HEARING EXAMINER
DECISION of the DEPUTY HEARING EXAMINER

In the Matter of the Application of
ARCHDIOCESE OF SEATTLE
Conditional use permit for a church site development in four phases

FILE NO. 05 126524 LU

DATE OF DECISION: July 19, 2006
PROJECT NAME: Holy Cross Parish
DECISION (SUMMARY): The proposed conditional use permit for phased development of a church and related uses is CONDITIONALLY APPROVED with a precondition.

BASIC INFORMATION

LOCATION: The subject property is located near Granite Falls on the east side of SR-92 between Lochsloy intersection and 84th Street NE at 6915 SR-92, Lake Stevens, Washington.

ACREAGE: 31 acres

ZONING: R-5

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN DESIGNATION:
General Policy Plan Designation: Rural Residential
Pre-GMA Subarea Plan: Granite Falls
Subarea Plan Designation: Rural-5

UTILITIES:
Water: Snohomish County PUD No. 1
SCHOOL DISTRICT: Granite Falls No. 332

FIRE DISTRICT: No. 17

SELECTED AGENCY RECOMMENDATIONS:

Department of:
Planning and Development Services: Approval subject to a precondition and conditions
Public Works: Approval subject to a precondition and conditions

INTRODUCTION

The applicant filed the Master Application on October 24, 2005. (Exhibit 1)

The Department of Planning and Development Services (PDS) gave proper public notice of the open record hearing as required by the county code. (Exhibits 20, 21 and 22)

A SEPA determination was made on June 8, 2006. (Exhibit 19) No appeal was filed.

The Examiner held an open record hearing on July 12, 2006, the 142nd day of the 120-day decision making period. Witnesses were sworn, testimony was presented, and exhibits were entered at the hearing.

PUBLIC HEARING

The public hearing commenced on July 12, 2006 at 11:04 a.m.

1. The Examiner indicated that he has read the PDS staff report, reviewed the file and viewed the area and therefore has a general idea of the particular request involved.

2. The applicant, Archdiocese of Seattle, was represented by Brad Cornwell of RMC Architects and James Barnett of DR Strong. Snohomish County was represented by Paul Lichter of the Department of Planning and Development Services.

3. No one appeared in opposition to the request.

The hearing concluded at 11:34 a.m.

NOTE: For a complete record, an electronic recording of this hearing is available in the Office of the Hearing Examiner.

FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND DECISION

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on all of the evidence of record, the following findings of fact are entered.

1. The master list of Exhibits and Witnesses which is a part of this file and which exhibits were considered by the Examiner, is hereby made a part of this file, as if set forth in full herein.
2. The PDS staff report has correctly analyzed the nature of the application, the issues of concern, the application’s consistency with adopted codes and policies and land use regulations, and the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) evaluation with its recommendation and conditions. This report is hereby adopted by the Examiner as if set forth in full herein.

3. No letters were received in opposition to the request nor did anyone appear in opposition.

4. The applicant, the Archdiocese of Seattle, filed an application for a conditional use permit to develop the subject 30 acres in phases during the next quarter century contingent upon Parish growth and funding capacity. The concept includes a new 700 – 1,000 seat church, a rectory, a K-8 parochial school, a 400 seat multi-use structure, parking areas, two mobile office structures for church personnel, a detached storage building, a plaza and two playfields as well as right-of-way improvements, a storm water treatment system, a septic system and associated landscaping. Despite the extent of the proposal, no opposition is voiced in the record and the applicant and County concur in all details.

5. Because the 1,374 square foot chapel in Granite Falls will be physically moved to the subject site, trip generation of that chapel is considered to already exist as background traffic in the vicinity and it, therefore, deducted from trip additions attributable to the proposed new facility. Thus, trip generation for phases 1A, 1B and 1 are calculated to be 291 average daily trips, of which 23 will be a.m. peak hour trips and 21 will be p.m. peak hour trips.

6. The DPW reviewed the request with regard to traffic mitigation and road design standards. This review covered Title 13 SCC and Chapter 30.66B SCC (Title 26B SCC) as to road system capacity, concurrency, inadequate road conditions, frontage improvements, access and circulation, and dedication/deeding of right-of-way, state highway impacts, impacts on other streets and roads, and Transportation Demand Management. As a result of this review, the DPW has determined that the development is concurrent and has no objection to the requests subject to various conditions.

7. The surrounding area is zoned R-5, as is the subject site. Single-family homes on acreage are predominate but other church uses and highway commercial uses are in the broader vicinity. A single-family farm lies to the north and west, undeveloped land lies to the south and a flat bench adjoining the Pilchuck River is to the south.

8. The request will not generate impacts to the County’s park system or the school system.

9. The PDS Engineering Division has reviewed the concept of the proposed grading and drainage and recommends approval of the project subject to conditions, which would be imposed during full detailed drainage plan review pursuant to Chapter 30.63A SCC (Title 24 SCC).

10. There are no critical areas within 100-feet of any proposed development and therefore this project is not subject to the requirements of Chapter 30.62 SCC. The subject site abuts wetland zones identified in The Watershed company’s 2000 Report and the July 22, 2005 addendum (Exhibit 3) The County reviewed the critical areas study and habitat management plan (Exhibit 15) and determined that the project complies with the critical areas regulations.

11. The subject property is designated R-5, which allows the proposed use. A CUP may be granted in this type of zone if the requirements for a CUP are met.
12. The request meets the requirements for the issuance of a CUP under Chapter 30.42C SCC, in particular the decision criteria therein for a CUP as set forth in SCC 30.42C.100. A review of these CUP standards with the request, indicates that the standards are met and no adverse affects will be made to the area as a result of allowing the proposed church complex as a master plan.

13. The request is consistent with Section 30.70.100 SCC (Section 32.50.100 SCC), which requires, pursuant to RCW 36.70B.040, that all project permit applications be consistent with the GMACP, and GMA-based county codes.

14. Any finding of fact in this decision which should be deemed a conclusion is hereby adopted as such.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Examiner having fully reviewed the PDS staff report, hereby adopts said staff report as properly setting forth the issues, the land use requests, consistency with the existing regulations, policies, principles, conditions and their effect upon the request. It is therefore hereby adopted by the Examiner as a conclusion as if set forth in full herein, in order to avoid needless repetition. There are no changes to the recommendations of the staff report.

2. The Department of Public Works recommends that the request be approved as to traffic use subject to certain conditions.

3. The request is consistent with the GMACP; GMA-based County codes; and the type and character of land use permitted on the site and the permitted density with the applicable design and development standards.

4. The request for a conditional use permit should be conditionally approved subject to compliance by the applicant with the following precondition and conditions:

PRECONDITION

A record of developer obligations and Certificate of Concurrency shall have been recorded with the County Auditor against the real property on which the development is proposed.

CONDITIONS

A. The proposed site plan received by PDS on February 1, 2006 (Exhibit 14A-F) shall be the CUP official site plan. SCC 30.42C.110 governs changes to the CUP conditions and/or official site plan.

B. Prior to initiation of any further site work; and/or prior to issuance of any development/construction permits by the county:

i. The applicant shall mark with temporary markers in the field the boundary of all Native Growth Protection Areas (NGPA) required by Chapter 30.62 SCC, or the limits of the proposed site disturbance outside of the NGPA, using methods and materials acceptable to the county.
A Critical Areas Site Plan (CASP) shall be recorded with the county auditor for critical areas and buffers that lie within a Native Growth Protection Area (NGPA). The following NGPA restrictive language shall be reflected on the CASP: "All NATIVE GROWTH PROTECTION AREAS shall be left permanently undisturbed in a substantially natural state. No clearing, grading, filling, building construction or placement, or road construction of any kind shall occur, except removal of hazardous trees.”

The applicant shall file with the County Auditor the required Land Use Permit Binder on a form provided by the department.

All site development work shall comply with the requirements of the plans and permits approved pursuant to Condition A, above.

C. Prior to issuance of any building permits:

i. The applicant shall have paid an impact fee to Snohomish County for traffic impacts for phases 1A, 1B, and 2 totaling $99,950.20. (SCC 30.66B.310) This amount may be paid as part of the phasing implementation.

ii. The applicant shall have paid an impact fee for traffic impacts to the City of Granite Falls totaling $19,069.79. (SCC 30.66B.720)

iii. The sum of $4,060.96 shall have been paid to Snohomish County for mitigation of impacts on state highways. (SCC 30.66B.710)

D. Prior to occupancy:

i. Native Growth Protection Area boundaries (NGPA) shall have been permanently marked on the site prior to final inspection by the county, with both NGPA signs and adjacent markers which can be magnetically located (e.g.: rebar, pipe, 20 penny nails, etc.). The applicant may use other permanent methods and materials provided they are first approved by the county. Where an NGPA boundary crosses another boundary (e.g.: lot, tract, plat, road, etc.), a rebar marker with surveyors’ cap and license number must be placed at the line crossing.

NGPA signs shall have been placed no greater than 100 feet apart around the perimeter of the NGPA. Minimum placement shall include one Type 1 sign per wetland, and at least one Type 1 sign shall be placed in any lot that borders the NGPA, unless otherwise approved by the county biologist. The design and proposed locations for the NGPA signs shall be submitted to Planning and Development Services for review and approval prior to installation.

ii. A final mitigation plan based on the Critical Areas Study and Buffer Mitigation Plan prepared by David Evans and Associates dated January 4, 2006 (Exhibit 15) shall be submitted for review and approval during the construction review phase of this project.

E. In conformity with applicable standards and timing requirements:

i. The preliminary landscape plan (Exhibit 14F) shall be implemented. All required detention facility landscaping shall be installed in accordance with the approved landscape plan.

F. All development activity shall conform to the requirements of Chapter 30.63A SCC.
The recipient of any conditional use permit shall file a land use permit binder on a form provided by the department (Planning and Development Services) with the county auditor prior to any of the following: initiation of any further site work, issuance of any development/construction permits by the county, or occupancy/use of the subject property or buildings thereon for the use or activity authorized. The binder shall serve both as acknowledgement of and agreement to abide by the terms and conditions of the conditional use permit and as a notice to prospective purchasers of the existence of the permit. (SCC 30.42C.200)

Nothing in this permit/approval excuses the applicant, owner, lessee, agent, successor or assigns from compliance with any other federal, state or local statutes, ordinances or regulations applicable to this project.

5. Any conclusion in this report and decision which should be deemed a finding of fact is hereby adopted as such.

**DECISION**

Based on the findings of fact and conclusions of law entered above, the decision of the Hearing Examiner on the application is as follows:

The request for a conditional use permit is hereby CONDITIONALLY APPROVED, subject to compliance with the precondition and conditions set forth in Conclusion 4, above.

Decision issued this 19th day of July, 2006.

___________________________________________
Ed Good, Deputy Hearing Examiner

---

**EXPLANATION OF RECONSIDERATION AND APPEAL PROCEDURES**

The decision of the Hearing Examiner is final and conclusive with right of appeal to the County Council. However, reconsideration by the Examiner may also be sought by one or more parties of record. The following paragraphs summarize the reconsideration and appeal processes. For more information about reconsideration and appeal procedures, please see Chapter 30.72 SCC and the respective Examiner and Council Rules of Procedure.

**Reconsideration**

Any party of record may request reconsideration by the Examiner. A petition for reconsideration must be filed in writing with the Office of the Hearing Examiner, 2nd Floor, County Administration-East Building, 3000 Rockefeller Avenue, Everett, Washington, (Mailing Address: M/S #405, 3000 Rockefeller Avenue, Everett WA 98201) on or before **JULY 31, 2006**. There is no fee for filing a petition for reconsideration. “The petitioner for reconsideration shall mail or otherwise provide a copy of the petition for reconsideration to all parties of record on the date of filing.” [SCC 30.72.065]
A petition for reconsideration does not have to be in a special form but must: contain the name, mailing address and daytime telephone number of the petitioner, together with the signature of the petitioner or of the petitioner’s attorney, if any; identify the specific findings, conclusions, actions and/or conditions for which reconsideration is requested; state the relief requested; and, where applicable, identify the specific nature of any newly discovered evidence and/or changes proposed by the applicant.

The grounds for seeking reconsideration are limited to the following:

(a) The Hearing Examiner exceeded the Hearing Examiner’s jurisdiction;

(b) The Hearing Examiner failed to follow the applicable procedure in reaching the Hearing Examiner’s decision;

(c) The Hearing Examiner committed an error of law;

(d) The Hearing Examiner’s findings, conclusions and/or conditions are not supported by the record;

(e) New evidence which could not reasonably have been produced and which is material to the decision is discovered; or

(f) The applicant proposed changes to the application in response to deficiencies identified in the decision.

Petitions for reconsideration will be processed and considered by the Hearing Examiner pursuant to the provisions of SCC 30.72.065. Please include the County file number in any correspondence regarding this case.

**Appeal**

An appeal to the County Council may be filed by any aggrieved party of record. Where the reconsideration process of SCC 30.72.065 has been invoked, no appeal may be filed until the reconsideration petition has been disposed of by the hearing examiner. An aggrieved party need not file a petition for reconsideration but may file an appeal directly to the County Council. If a petition for reconsideration is filed, issues subsequently raised by that party on appeal to the County Council shall be limited to those issues raised in the petition for reconsideration. Appeals shall be addressed to the Snohomish County Council but shall be filed in writing with the Department of Planning and Development Services, 2nd Floor, County Administration-East Building, 3000 Rockefeller Avenue, Everett, Washington (Mailing address: M/S #604, 3000 Rockefeller Avenue, Everett, WA 98201) on or before **AUGUST 2, 2006** and shall be accompanied by a filing fee in the amount of five hundred dollars ($500.00); PROVIDED, that the filing fee shall not be charged to a department of the County or to other than the first appellant; and PROVIDED FURTHER, that the filing fee shall be refunded in any case where an appeal is dismissed without hearing because of untimely filing, lack of standing, lack of jurisdiction or other procedural defect. [SCC 30.72.070]

An appeal must contain the following items in order to be complete: a detailed statement of the grounds for appeal; a detailed statement of the facts upon which the appeal is based, including citations to specific Hearing Examiner findings, conclusions, exhibits or oral testimony; written arguments in support of the appeal; the name, mailing address and daytime telephone number of each appellant, together with the signature of at least one of the appellants or of the attorney for the appellant(s), if any; the name, mailing address, daytime telephone number and signature of the appellant’s agent or representative, if any; and the required filing fee.
The grounds for filing an appeal shall be limited to the following:

(a) The decision exceeded the Hearing Examiner’s jurisdiction;
(b) The Hearing Examiner failed to follow the applicable procedure in reaching his decision;
(c) The Hearing Examiner committed an error of law; or
(d) The Hearing Examiner’s findings, conclusions and/or conditions are not supported by substantial evidence in the record. [SCC 30.72.080]

Appeals will be processed and considered by the County Council pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 30.72 SCC. Please include the County file number in any correspondence regarding the case.

The Land Use Permit Binder, which must be executed and recorded as required by SCC 30.42C.200, will be provided by the department. The Binder should not be recorded until all reconsideration and/or appeal proceedings have been concluded and the permit has become effective.

Staff Distribution:

Department of Planning and Development Services: Paul Lichter
Department of Public Works: Ann Goetz

The following statement is provided pursuant to RCW 36.70B.130: “Affected property owners may request a change in valuation for property tax purposes notwithstanding any program of revaluation.” A copy of this Decision is being provided to the Snohomish County Assessor as required by RCW 36.70B.130.
This decision is binding but will not become effective until the above precondition(s) have been fulfilled and acknowledged by the Department of Planning and Development Services (PDS) on the original of the instant decision. Document(s) required for fulfillment of the precondition(s) must be filed in a complete, executed fashion with PDS not later than JULY 19, 2007.

1. “Fulfillment” as used herein means recordation with the County Auditor, approval/acceptance by the County Council and/or Hearing Examiner, and/or such other final action as is appropriate to the particular precondition(s).

2. One and only one six month period will be allowed for resubmittal of any required document(s) which is (are) returned to the applicant for correction.

3. This conditional approval will automatically be null and void if all required precondition(s) have not been fulfilled as set forth above; PROVIDED, that:

   A. The Examiner may grant a one-time extension of the submittal deadline for not more than twelve (12) months for just cause shown if and only if a written request for such extension is received by the Examiner prior to the expiration of the original time period; and

   B. The submittal deadline will be extended automatically an amount equal to the number of days involved in any appeal proceedings.

__________________________________________________________
ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF FULFILLMENT OF PRECONDITIONS

The above imposed precondition(s) having been fulfilled by the applicant and/or the successors in interest, the Department of Planning and Development Services hereby states that the instant Decision is effective as of _______________________, _____.

Certified by:

_____________________________________
(Name)

_____________________________________
(Title)