

# REPORT and DECISION of the SNOHOMISH COUNTY HEARING EXAMINER

DATE OF DECISION: January 31, 2007

PLAT/PROJECT NAME: *RENEE LDMR*

APPLICANT/  
LANDOWNER: 80<sup>th</sup> Avenue West, LLC

FILE NO.: 05 123768

TYPE OF REQUEST: REZONE of .6 acres at 22508 80<sup>th</sup> Avenue W, Edmonds

DECISION (SUMMARY): APPROVE

## BASIC INFORMATION

GENERAL LOCATION: The property is located at 22508 80<sup>th</sup> Avenue W, Lynnwood, WA

ACREAGE: .60

ZONING: CURRENT: Residential-8400 (R-8400)  
PROPOSED: Low Density Multiple Residential (LDMR)

### COMPREHENSIVE PLAN DESIGNATION:

General Policy Plan Designation: Urban Medium Residential (6-12 du/ac)

### UTILITIES:

Water: Olympic View Water District  
Sewage: Olympic View Sewer District

SCHOOL DISTRICT: Edmonds

FIRE DISTRICT: No. 1

### SELECTED AGENCY RECOMMENDATIONS:

Department of:  
Planning and Development Services: Approve  
Public Works: No recommendation

## **INTRODUCTION**

The applicant filed the Master Application on April 18, 2006. (Exhibit 1)

The Hearing Examiner (Examiner) made a site familiarization visit on January 15, 2007.

The Department of Planning and Development Services (PDS) gave proper public notice of the open record hearing as required by the county code. (Exhibits 15, 16 and 17)

A SEPA determination of nonsignificance was made on November 27, 2006. (Exhibit 14) No appeal was filed.

The Examiner held an open record hearing on January 16, 2007, the 57<sup>th</sup> day of the 120-day decision making period. Witnesses were sworn, testimony was presented, and exhibits were entered at the hearing.

## **PUBLIC HEARING**

The public hearing commenced on January 16, 2007 at 2:36 p.m. The Examiner indicated that he has read the PDS staff report, reviewed the file and viewed the area and therefore has a general idea of the particular request involved.

The hearing concluded at 2:44 p.m.

**NOTE:** Audio tapes of this hearing are available in the Office of the Hearing Examiner.

## **FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND DECISION**

### **FINDINGS:**

1. The master list of Exhibits and Witnesses which is a part of this file and which exhibits were considered by the Examiner, is hereby made a part of this file, as if set forth in full herein.
2. The PDS staff report has correctly analyzed the nature of the application, the issues of concern, the application's consistency with adopted codes and policies and land use regulations, and the State Environmental Protection Act (SEPA) evaluation with its recommendation and conditions. This report is hereby adopted by the Examiner as if set forth in full herein.
3. 80<sup>th</sup> Avenue W, LLC (Applicant) requests that a .68 acre site at 22508 80<sup>th</sup> Avenue W, near Edmonds, be rezoned from R-8400 to LDMR. The site includes two tax parcels currently occupied with one single-family residence. The surrounding lots are zoned R-8400 and are developed with single-family residences.
4. Notice of the application was given as provided by ordinance. One letter was received from a resident of the area who was opposed to the introduction of seven homes on one lot in a single-family area.
5. The property is designated for Urban Medium Density Residential development and is currently zoned R-8400. The LDMR zone is one of the implementing zones for this designation.

6. The site is of sufficient size and shape to satisfy bulk and other zoning regulations of the zoning code.
7. Any Finding of Fact in this Report and Decision, which should be deemed a Conclusion, is hereby adopted as such.

**CONCLUSIONS:**

1. The Examiner having fully reviewed the PDS staff report, hereby adopts said staff report as properly setting forth the issues, the land use requests, consistency with the existing regulations, policies, principles, conditions and their effect upon the request. It is therefore hereby adopted by the Examiner as a conclusion as if set forth in full herein, in order to avoid needless repetition. There are no changes to the recommendations of the staff report.
2. The Department of Public Works recommends that the request be approved as to traffic use subject to certain conditions.
3. The request is consistent with the comprehensive plan. The determination to consider residences in this area was made when the County Council designated this area for Urban Medium Density Residential development. The recommendation of PDS staff recommendation is reasonable and should be approved.
4. Any Conclusion in this Report and Decision, which should be deemed a Finding of Fact, is hereby adopted as such.

**DECISION:**

The request for a **REZONE** of .6 acre site at 22508 80<sup>th</sup> Avenue W from R-8400 to LDMR is hereby **APPROVED**.

Decision issued this 31<sup>st</sup> day of January, 2007.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Gordon Crandall, Hearing Examiner Pro-Tem

<b>EXPLANATION OF RECONSIDERATION AND APPEAL PROCEDURES</b>
---

The decision of the Hearing Examiner is final and conclusive with right of appeal to the County Council. However, reconsideration by the Examiner may also be sought by one or more parties of record. The following paragraphs summarize the reconsideration and appeal processes. For more information about reconsideration and appeal procedures, please see Chapter 30.72 SCC and the respective Examiner and Council Rules of Procedure.

**Reconsideration**

Any party of record may request reconsideration by the Examiner. A petition for reconsideration must be filed in writing with the Office of the Hearing Examiner, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, County Administration-East Building, 3000 Rockefeller Avenue, Everett, Washington, (Mailing Address: M/S #405, 3000 Rockefeller Avenue, Everett WA 98201) on or before **February 12, 2007**. There is no fee for filing a petition for reconsideration. **“The petitioner**

**for reconsideration shall mail or otherwise provide a copy of the petition for reconsideration to all parties of record on the date of filing.” [SCC 30.72.065]**

A petition for reconsideration does not have to be in a special form but must: contain the name, mailing address and daytime telephone number of the petitioner, together with the signature of the petitioner or of the petitioner’s attorney, if any; identify the specific findings, conclusions, actions and/or conditions for which reconsideration is requested; state the relief requested; and, where applicable, identify the specific nature of any newly discovered evidence and/or changes proposed by the applicant.

The grounds for seeking reconsideration are limited to the following:

- (a) The Hearing Examiner exceeded the Hearing Examiner’s jurisdiction;
- (b) The Hearing Examiner failed to follow the applicable procedure in reaching the Hearing Examiner’s decision;
- (c) The Hearing Examiner committed an error of law;
- (d) The Hearing Examiner’s findings, conclusions and/or conditions are not supported by the record;
- (e) New evidence which could not reasonably have been produced and which is material to the decision is discovered; or
- (f) The applicant proposed changes to the application in response to deficiencies identified in the decision.

Petitions for reconsideration will be processed and considered by the Hearing Examiner pursuant to the provisions of SCC 30.72.065. Please include the County file number in any correspondence regarding this case.

### **Appeal**

An appeal to the County Council may be filed by any aggrieved party of record. Where the reconsideration process of SCC 30.72.065 has been invoked, no appeal may be filed until the reconsideration petition has been disposed of by the hearing examiner. An aggrieved party need not file a petition for reconsideration but may file an appeal directly to the County Council. If a petition for reconsideration is filed, issues subsequently raised by that party on appeal to the County Council shall be limited to those issues raised in the petition for reconsideration. Appeals shall be addressed to the Snohomish County Council but shall be filed in writing with the Department of Planning and Development Services, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, County Administration-East Building, 3000 Rockefeller Avenue, Everett, Washington (Mailing address: M/S #604, 3000 Rockefeller Avenue, Everett, WA 98201) on or before **February 14, 2007** and shall be accompanied by a filing fee in the amount of five hundred dollars (\$500.00); PROVIDED, that the filing fee shall not be charged to a department of the County or to other than the first appellant; and PROVIDED FURTHER, that the filing fee shall be refunded in any case where an appeal is dismissed without hearing because of untimely filing, lack of standing, lack of jurisdiction or other procedural defect. [SCC 30.72.070]

An appeal must contain the following items in order to be complete: a detailed statement of the grounds for appeal; a detailed statement of the facts upon which the appeal is based, including citations to specific Hearing Examiner findings, conclusions, exhibits or oral testimony; written arguments in support of the appeal; the name, mailing address and daytime telephone number of each appellant, together with the signature of at least one of the appellants or of the attorney for the appellant(s), if any; the name, mailing address, daytime telephone number and signature of the appellant’s agent or representative, if any; and the required filing fee.

The grounds for filing an appeal shall be limited to the following:

- (a) The decision exceeded the Hearing Examiner’s jurisdiction;
- (b) The Hearing Examiner failed to follow the applicable procedure in reaching his decision;
- (c) The Hearing Examiner committed an error of law; or

- (d) The Hearing Examiner's findings, conclusions and/or conditions are not supported by substantial evidence in the record. [SCC 30.72.080]

Appeals will be processed and considered by the County Council pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 30.72 SCC. Please include the County file number in any correspondence regarding the case.