BEFORE THE

SNOHOMISH COUNTY HEARING EXAMINER

DECISION of the DEPUTY HEARING EXAMINER

In the Matter of the Application of  

RUSSELL PETERS

FILE NO.  05 127818 SD

Preliminary plat for a 34-lot subdivision utilizing lot 
size averaging and a rezone from R-9,600 to R-7,200

DATE OF DECISION:  March 8, 2007

PLAT/PROJECT NAME:  Damson Meadows

DECISION (SUMMARY):  The proposed 34-lot subdivision and rezone from R-9,600 to R-7,200 are  
CONDITIONALLY APPROVED.

BASIC INFORMATION

GENERAL LOCATION:  This project is located at 430 N. Damson Road, Lynnwood, Washington.

ACREAGE:  7.86 acres

NUMBER OF LOTS:  34

AVERAGE LOT SIZE:  5,066 square feet

MINIMUM LOT SIZE:  3,609 square feet

DENSITY:  4.3 du/ac (gross)

5.71 du/ac (net)

ZONING:  CURRENT:  R-9,600

PROPOSED:  R-7,200
COMPREHENSIVE PLAN DESIGNATION:
General Policy Plan Designation: Urban Low Density Residential (4-6 du/ac)
Subarea Plan: North Creek
Subarea Plan Designation: Suburban (1-4 du/ac)

UTILITIES:
Water/Sewer: Alderwood Water and Wastewater District

SCHOOL DISTRICT: Edmonds No. 15

FIRE DISTRICT: No. 1

SELECTED AGENCY RECOMMENDATIONS:
Department of:
  Planning and Development Services (PDS): Approval subject to conditions
  Public Works (DPW): Approval subject to conditions

INTRODUCTION
The applicant filed the Master Application on January 26, 2006. (Exhibit 1)
The Department of Planning and Development Services (PDS) gave proper public notice of the open record hearing as required by the county code. (Exhibits 15, 16 and 17)
A SEPA determination was made on December 26, 2006. (Exhibit 14) No appeal was filed.
The Examiner held an open record hearing on February 21, 2007, the 86th day of the 120-day decision making period. Witnesses were sworn, testimony was presented, and exhibits were entered at the hearing.

PUBLIC HEARING
The public hearing commenced on February 21, 2007 at 10:01 a.m.
1. The Examiner stated that he had read the PDS staff report, reviewed the file and viewed the area.
2. The applicant, Russell Peters, was represented by Travis Price of DR Strong Consulting Engineers. Snohomish County was represented by Michael Dobesh of the Department of Planning and Development Services and by Andy Smith of the Department of Public Works.
3. No member of the general public participated in this matter to raise concern or opposition.
The hearing concluded at 10:06 a.m.

NOTE: For a complete record, an electronic recording of this hearing is available in the Office of the Hearing Examiner.
FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND DECISION

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on all the evidence of record, the following findings of fact are entered.

1. The master list of exhibits and witnesses which is a part of this file and which exhibits were considered by the Examiner, is hereby made a part of this file as if set forth in full herein.

2. The PDS staff report has correctly analyzed the nature of the application, the issues of concern, the application’s consistency with adopted codes and policies and land use regulations, and the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA). That staff report is hereby adopted by the Examiner as if set forth in full herein.

3. The request is for a rezone of 7.86 acres from R-9,600 to R-7,200 in order to construct a 34-lot subdivision using lot size averaging. There are five existing homes, thus 29 new homes will generate average weekday vehicle trips totaling 278 trips, of which 22 are a.m. peak-hour trips and 29 are p.m. peak hour trips.

4. Surrounding zoning is varied and includes Neighborhood Business, R-7,200, Multiple-Residential, Low Density Multiple-Residential and R-9,600. Most surrounding properties are developed with single-family residences.

5. The project would comply with park mitigation requirements under Chapter 30.66A SCC by the payment of $1,244.49 for each new single-family home.

6. The DPW reviewed the request with regard to traffic mitigation and road design standards. This review covered Title 13 SCC and Chapter 30.66B SCC as to road system capacity, concurrency, inadequate road conditions, frontage improvements, access and circulation, and dedication/deeding of right-of-way, state highway impacts, impacts on other streets and roads, and Transportation Demand Management. As a result of this review, the DPW has determined that the development is concurrent and has no objection to the requests subject to various conditions.

7. School mitigation requirements under Chapter 30.66C SCC have been reviewed and set forth in the conditions. Students of all grades will walk to bus stops at either North Damson and Damson Road or at Damson Road and North Danvers Road. Adequate walkways already exist but for standard frontage improvements required of this project and an offsite concrete walkway required as Condition D.iv. upon this approval.

8. A Category 3 wetland approximately 45,975 square feet in size exists in the southern portion of the site and extends off-site to the south. A 25-foot buffer has been established around that portion of the wetland associated with the site. The wetland and buffer are designated as a Native Growth Protection Area (NGPA) located within tract 998, which totals 67,241 square feet in area. A second wetland was observed off-site along the west property boundary.

9. The PDS Engineering Division has reviewed the concept of the proposed grading and drainage and recommends approval of the project subject to conditions, which would be imposed during full detailed drainage plan review pursuant to Chapter 30.63A SCC.
10. The Snohomish County Health District has no objection to this proposal provided that public water and sewer are furnished. Public water and sewer service and electrical power will be available for this development.

11. The property is designated Urban Low Density Residential (ULDR 4-6 du/ac) on the General Policy Plan (GPP) Future Land Use Map (FLUM) and is located within an Urban Growth Area (UGA). Land in this category may be developed at a density of 4-6 du/ac and one of the implementing zones is the R-7,200 zone which is the case here.

12. The proposed use (single-family detached development) is essentially compatible with existing single-family detached developments on larger lots. Because the property is within a UGA, policies where adopted promote urban densities of development, a comparison with the present lower density character of much of the area is inappropriate since the present density of development in much of the surrounding area is inconsistent with both the adopted comprehensive plans and the present zoning.

13. The request complies with the Snohomish County Subdivision Code, Chapter 30.41A SCC as well as the State Subdivision Code, RCW 58.17. The proposed plat complies with the established criteria therein and makes the appropriate provisions for public, health, safety and general welfare, for open spaces, drainage ways, streets or roads, alleys, other public ways, transit stops, potable water supplies, sanitary wastes, parks and recreation, playgrounds, schools and school grounds, and other planning features including safe walking conditions for students.

14. Chapter 30.42A covers rezoning requests and applies to site-specific rezone proposals that conform to the Comprehensive Plan. The decision criteria under SCC 30.42A.100 provides as follows:

   The hearing examiner may approve a rezone only when all the following criteria are met:

   (1) the proposal is consistent with the comprehensive plan;
   (2) the proposal bears a substantial relationship to the public health, safety, and welfare; and
   (3) where applicable, minimum zoning criteria found in Chapters 30.31A through 30.31F SCC are met.

   It is the finding of the Examiner that the request meets these requirements generally and should be approved.

15. The proposal has been evaluated by PDS for compliance with the lot size averaging provisions of SCC 30.41A.240 and SCC 30.23.210. This proposal is consistent with these provisions.

16. The request is consistent with Section 30.70.100 SCC (Section 32.50.100 SCC), which requires, pursuant to RCW 36.70B.040, that all project permit applications be consistent with the GMACP, and GMA-based county codes.

17. Any finding of fact in this decision which should be deemed a conclusion is hereby adopted as such.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Based on the findings of fact entered above, the following conclusions of law are entered.
1. The Examiner having fully reviewed the PDS staff report, hereby adopts said staff report as properly setting forth the issues, the land use requests, consistency with the existing regulations, policies, principles, conditions and their effect upon the request. It is therefore hereby adopted by the Examiner as a conclusion as if set forth in full herein, in order to avoid needless repetition. There are no changes to the recommendations of the staff report.

2. The Department of Public Works recommends that the request be approved as to traffic use subject to conditions specified below herein.

3. The request is consistent with the (1) GMACP, GMA-based County codes, (2) the type and character of land use permitted on the site, (3) the permitted density, and(4) the applicable design and development standards.

4. The request is for a rezone and therefore must comply with Chapter 30.42A. This is a site specific rezone that conforms to the Comprehensive Plan. Because no evidence was submitted of non-compliance with the requirements of Chapter 30.42A, the application is presumed to meet those requirements.

5. The request complies with the Snohomish County Subdivision Code, Chapter 30.41A SCC (Title 19 SCC) as well as the State Subdivision Code, RCW 58.17. The proposed subdivision complies with the established criteria therein and makes the appropriate provisions for public, health, safety and general welfare, for open spaces, drainage ways, streets or roads, alleys, other public ways, transit stops, potable water supplies, sanitary wastes, parks and recreation, playgrounds, schools and school grounds, and other planning features including safe walking conditions for students.

6. Any conclusion in this decision which should be deemed a finding of fact is hereby adopted as such.

**DECISION**

Based on the findings of fact and conclusions of law entered above, the decision of the Hearing Examiner on the application is as follows:

The requests for a preliminary plat for a 34 lot subdivision utilizing lot size averaging provisions and for a rezone from Residential-9,600 to Residential-7,200 are hereby **CONDITIONALLY APPROVED**, subject to compliance by the applicant with the following conditions:

**CONDITIONS**

A. The preliminary plat received by PDS on November 27, 2006 (Exhibit 9A) shall be the approved plat configuration. Changes to the approved plat are governed by SCC 30.41A.330.

B. Prior to initiation of any further site work; and/or prior to issuance of any development/construction permits by the county:
   
   i. All site development work shall comply with the requirements of the plans and permits approved pursuant to Condition A, above.
ii. The plottor shall mark with temporary markers in the field the boundary of all Native Growth Protection Areas (NGPA) required by Chapter 30.62 SCC, or the limits of the proposed site disturbance outside of the NGPA, using methods and materials acceptable to the county.

iii. A final mitigation plan based on the Critical Areas Report for Damson Meadows, prepared by The Jay Group, Inc. dated July 26, 2006 (Exhibit 12) shall be submitted for review and approval during the construction review phase of this project.

iv. A frontage improvement plan shall be submitted and approved by the Department of Public Works (DPW);

v. A road channelization plan shall be submitted and approved by the DPW. Approval from WSDOT of the channelization plan for the intersection of N. Damson Road and Filbert Road is required;

vi. An off-site sidewalk plan shall be submitted and approved by the DPW.

C. The following additional restrictions and/or items shall be indicated on the face of the final plat:

i. “The dwelling units within this development are subject to park impact fees in the amount of $1,244.49 per newly approved dwelling unit pursuant to Chapter 30.66A. Payment of these mitigation fees is required prior to building permit issuance; provided that the building permit has been issued within five years after the application is deemed complete. After five years, park impact fees shall be based upon the rate in effect at the time of building permit issuance”.

ii. “The lots within this subdivision will be subject to school impact mitigation fees for the Edmonds School District No. 15 to be determined by the certified amount within the Base Fee Schedule in effect at the time of building permit application, and to be collected prior to building permit issuance, in accordance with the provisions of SCC 30.66C.010. Credit shall be given for five existing parcel(s). Lot(s) 1 through 5 shall receive credit.”

iii. Chapter 30.66B SCC requires the new lot mitigation payments in the amounts shown below for each single-family residential building permit:

$1,639.95 per lot for mitigation of impacts on county roads paid to the county,
$66.57 per lot for transportation demand management paid to the county,
$302.76 per lot for mitigation of impacts on the State highway system paid to the county.

These payments are due prior to or at the time of building permit issuance. Notice of these mitigation payment obligations shall be contained in any deeds involving this subdivision or the lots therein. Once building permit has been issued all mitigation payments shall be deemed paid.

iv. All Critical Areas shall be designated Native Growth Protection Areas (NGPA) (unless other agreements have been made) with the following language on the face of the plat;
"All NATIVE GROWTH PROTECTION AREAS shall be left permanently undisturbed in a substantially natural state. No clearing, grading, filling, building construction or placement, or road construction of any kind shall occur, except removal of hazardous trees. The activities as set forth in UDC 30.91N.010 are allowed when approved by the County."

v. Fifteen feet of right-of-way shall be dedicated to Snohomish County, parallel and adjoining the existing right-of-way along the parcels frontage on the east side of Damson Road and the south side of N. Damson Road along with a 25-foot radius right-of-way on both sides of the intersections of Roads A and B with these roads.

vi. The driveways for lots 17 and 20 shall be no closer than fifty feet from the end of Road D.

D. Prior to recording of the final plat:

i. Urban frontage improvements shall be constructed along the parcel’s frontage on Damson Road and N Damson Road to the specifications of the DPW

ii. Pedestrian Facilities shall be constructed to the specifications of the DPW throughout the development.

iii. The development shall provide striping for a three lane section and a bike lane along the property frontage on N Damson Road and Damson Road. The striping shall include turn pockets for the accesses and the intersections of Damson Road/N Damson Road and N Damson Road/Filbert Road.

iv. An offsite concrete sidewalk shall be constructed along the east side of Damson Road from the development’s frontage improvements to the intersection of N. Danvers Road.

v. Native Growth Protection Area boundaries (NGPA) shall have been permanently marked on the site prior to final inspection by the county, with both NGPA signs and adjacent markers which can be magnetically located (e.g.: rebar, pipe, 20 penny nails, etc.). The platter may use other permanent methods and materials provided they are first approved by the county. Where an NGPA boundary crosses another boundary (e.g.: lot, tract, plat, road, etc.), a rebar marker with surveyors’ cap and license number must be placed at the line crossing.

NGPA signs shall have been placed no greater than 100 feet apart around the perimeter of the NGPA. Minimum placement shall include one Type 1 sign per wetland, and at least one Type 1 sign shall be placed in any lot that borders the NGPA, unless otherwise approved by the county biologist. The design and proposed locations for the NGPA signs shall be submitted to the Land Use Division for review and approval prior to installation.

vi. The final wetland mitigation plan shall be completely implemented.

E. In conformity with applicable standards and timing requirements:

i. The preliminary landscape plan (Exhibit 10) shall be implemented. All required detention facility landscaping shall be installed in accordance with the approved landscape plan.

F. All development activity shall conform to the requirements of Chapter 30.63A SCC.
Nothing in this permit/approval excuses the applicant, owner, lessee, agent, successor or assigns from compliance with any other federal, state or local statutes, ordinances or regulations applicable to this project.

Preliminary plats which are approved by the county are valid for five (5) years from the date of approval and must be recorded within that time period unless an extension has been properly requested and granted pursuant to SCC 30.41A.300.

Decision issued this 8th day of March, 2007.

Ed Good, Deputy Hearing Examiner

---

**EXPLANATION OF RECONSIDERATION AND APPEAL PROCEDURES**

The decision of the Hearing Examiner is final and conclusive with right of appeal to the County Council. However, reconsideration by the Examiner may also be sought by one or more parties of record. The following paragraphs summarize the reconsideration and appeal processes. For more information about reconsideration and appeal procedures, please see Chapter 30.72 SCC and the respective Examiner and Council Rules of Procedure.

**Reconsideration**

Any party of record may request reconsideration by the Examiner. A petition for reconsideration must be filed in writing with the Office of the Hearing Examiner, 2nd Floor, County Administration-East Building, 3000 Rockefeller Avenue, Everett, Washington, (Mailing Address: M/S #405, 3000 Rockefeller Avenue, Everett WA 98201) on or before MARCH 19, 2007. There is no fee for filing a petition for reconsideration. **“The petitioner for reconsideration shall mail or otherwise provide a copy of the petition for reconsideration to all parties of record on the date of filing.” [SCC 30.72.065]**

A petition for reconsideration does not have to be in a special form but must: contain the name, mailing address and daytime telephone number of the petitioner, together with the signature of the petitioner or of the petitioner’s attorney, if any; identify the specific findings, conclusions, actions and/or conditions for which reconsideration is requested; state the relief requested; and, where applicable, identify the specific nature of any newly discovered evidence and/or changes proposed by the applicant.

The grounds for seeking reconsideration are limited to the following:

(a) The Hearing Examiner exceeded the Hearing Examiner’s jurisdiction;
(b) The Hearing Examiner failed to follow the applicable procedure in reaching the Hearing Examiner’s decision;
(c) The Hearing Examiner committed an error of law;
(d) The Hearing Examiner’s findings, conclusions and/or conditions are not supported by the record;
(e) New evidence which could not reasonably have been produced and which is material to the decision is discovered; or
(f) The applicant proposed changes to the application in response to deficiencies identified in the decision.
Petitions for reconsideration will be processed and considered by the Hearing Examiner pursuant to the provisions of SCC 30.72.065. Please include the County file number in any correspondence regarding this case.

**Appeal**

An appeal to the County Council may be filed by any aggrieved party of record. Where the reconsideration process of SCC 30.72.065 has been invoked, no appeal may be filed until the reconsideration petition has been disposed of by the hearing examiner. An aggrieved party need not file a petition for reconsideration but may file an appeal directly to the County Council. If a petition for reconsideration is filed, issues subsequently raised by that party on appeal to the County Council shall be limited to those issues raised in the petition for reconsideration. Appeals shall be addressed to the Snohomish County Council but shall be filed in writing with the Department of Planning and Development Services, 2nd Floor, County Administration-East Building, 3000 Rockefeller Avenue, Everett, Washington (Mailing address: M/S #604, 3000 Rockefeller Avenue, Everett, WA 98201) on or before **MARCH 22, 2007** and shall be accompanied by a filing fee in the amount of five hundred dollars ($500.00); PROVIDED, that the filing fee shall not be charged to a department of the County or to other than the first appellant; and PROVIDED FURTHER, that the filing fee shall be refunded in any case where an appeal is dismissed without hearing because of untimely filing, lack of standing, lack of jurisdiction or other procedural defect. [SCC 30.72.070]

An appeal must contain the following items in order to be complete: a detailed statement of the grounds for appeal; a detailed statement of the facts upon which the appeal is based, including citations to specific Hearing Examiner findings, conclusions, exhibits or oral testimony; written arguments in support of the appeal; the name, mailing address and daytime telephone number of each appellant, together with the signature of at least one of the appellants or of the attorney for the appellant(s), if any; the name, mailing address, daytime telephone number and signature of the appellant’s agent or representative, if any; and the required filing fee.

The grounds for filing an appeal shall be limited to the following:

(a) The decision exceeded the Hearing Examiner’s jurisdiction;
(b) The Hearing Examiner failed to follow the applicable procedure in reaching his decision;
(c) The Hearing Examiner committed an error of law; or
(d) The Hearing Examiner’s findings, conclusions and/or conditions are not supported by substantial evidence in the record. [SCC 30.72.080]

Appeals will be processed and considered by the County Council pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 30.72 SCC. Please include the County file number in any correspondence regarding the case.

---

**Staff Distribution:**

Department of Planning and Development Services: Michael Dobesh / Andy Smith

The following statement is provided pursuant to RCW 36.70B.130: “Affected property owners may request a change in valuation for property tax purposes notwithstanding any program of revaluation.” A copy of this Decision is being provided to the Snohomish County Assessor as required by RCW 36.70B.130.