

**BEFORE THE**  
**SNOHOMISH COUNTY HEARING EXAMINER**  
**DECISION of the DEPUTY HEARING EXAMINER**

In the Matter of the Application of )  
 )  
**ROBERT DOBLER** ) **FILE NO. 06 132564 SD**  
 )  
15 lot Rural Cluster Subdivision (RCS) on 35.19 acres )

---

DATE OF DECISION: May 9, 2007

PROJECT NAME: *Woodhaven Estates*

DECISION (SUMMARY): The application for a 15-lot rural cluster subdivision is **CONDITIONALLY APPROVED.**

**BASIC INFORMATION**

GENERAL LOCATION: The property is located on the east side of 163<sup>rd</sup> Avenue NE, approximately 750 feet north of its intersection of 84<sup>th</sup> Street NE, Granite Falls, Washington.

ACREAGE: 35.19 acres

NUMBER OF LOTS: 15

AVERAGE LOT SIZE: 43,924 square feet

MINIMUM LOT SIZE: 43,654 square feet

DENSITY: .43 du/ac (gross)  
1.01 du/ac (net)

ZONING: R-5

**COMPREHENSIVE PLAN DESIGNATION:**

General Policy Plan Designation: Rural Residential (1 du/ 5 acres, Basic)

**UTILITIES:**

Water: Snohomish County PUD No. 1  
Sewer: Individual

**SCHOOL DISTRICT:** Granite Falls No. 332

**FIRE DISTRICT:** No. 17

**INTRODUCTION**

The applicant filed the Master Application on November 13, 2006. (Exhibit 1)

The Department of Planning and Development Services (PDS) gave proper public notice of the open record hearing as required by the county code. (Exhibits 20, 21 and 22)

A SEPA determination was made on March 13, 2007. (Exhibit 13) No appeal was filed.

The Examiner held an open record hearing on May 1, 2007, the 90<sup>th</sup> day of the 120-day decision making period. Witnesses were sworn, testimony was presented, and exhibits were entered at the hearing.

**PUBLIC HEARING**

The public hearing commenced on May 1, 2007 at 11:05 a.m.

1. The Examiner announced that he had read the PDS staff report, reviewed the file and viewed the area and therefore was generally apprised of the particular request involved.
2. The applicant, Robert Dobler of Woodhaven Estates, LLC, was represented by Terry Ostergaard of Alpha Subdivision Pros. Snohomish County was represented by David Radabaugh of the Department of Planning and Development Services.
3. No member of the general public participated in this matter.

The hearing concluded at 11:12 a.m.

**NOTE:** For a complete record, an electronic recording of this hearing is available in the Office of the Hearing Examiner.

## **FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND DECISION**

### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

Based on all the evidence of record, the following findings of fact are entered.

1. The master list of exhibits and witnesses which is a part of this file and which exhibits were considered by the Examiner, is hereby made a part of this file, as if set forth in full herein.
2. The applicant, Woodhaven Estates, LLC (Robert C. Dobler) filed an application for a rural cluster subdivision of 15 lots on approximately 35 acres zoned R-5 north of 84<sup>th</sup> Street NE on 163<sup>rd</sup> Avenue NE near Granite Falls.
3. Each lot will be served by its separate septic drainfield and reserve area. Water will be supplied by the Snohomish County PUD.
4. All immediately adjacent land is zoned R-5. Rural residential uses dominate in the area but the Getchel Pit gravel mine lies one-quarter mile to the west. Rural conservation zoning lies approximately 300 feet to the southwest of the subject site.
5. The Stillaguamish Tribe reports (Exhibit 28) that the subject site is located near six sites recognized by the Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation, one of which sites is adjacent to the project site. Therefore, the Tribe requests an archaeological survey be done before any excavation work and urges extreme caution. Consistent therewith, the County recommends, and the Examiner imposes, conditions upon approval which require the requested survey and require notice to the Tribe in advance so that a Tribal monitor can be present during plat construction.
6. The DPW reviewed the request with regard to traffic mitigation and road design standards. That review covered Title 13 SCC and Chapter 30.66B SCC as to road system capacity, concurrency, inadequate road conditions, frontage improvements, access and circulation, and dedication/deeding of right-of-way, state highway impacts, impacts on other streets and roads, and Transportation Demand Management. As a result of that review, the DPW has determined that the development is concurrent and has no objection to the requests subject to various conditions.
7. There are no park mitigation fees for this project.
8. School mitigation requirements under Chapter 30.66C SCC have been reviewed and set forth in the conditions. Granite Falls School District No. 332 reports (Exhibit 26) that children of all grades will be picked up by bus at the entrance to the development and requests a safe waiting area there. Thus, no offsite improvements are required.
9. There are no critical areas on the subject property or within 100 feet of the subject property.
10. The PDS Engineering Division has reviewed the concept of the proposed grading and drainage and recommends approval of the project subject to conditions, which would be imposed during full detailed drainage plan review pursuant to Chapter 30.63A SCC.

11. The subject property is designated Rural Residential -5 on the GPP Future Land Use map, and is not located within an Urban Growth Area (UGA). It is not located within a mapped Growth Phasing Overlay. According to the GPP, the Rural Residential-5 designation applies to lands which were previously designated Rural by various subarea plans and have been subsequently zoned R-5. The implementing zone in this designation will continue to be the R-5 zone.
12. The proposed use (single-family detached development) is essentially compatible with existing single-family detached developments on larger lots. A comparison with the present lower density character of much of the area is inappropriate since the present density of development in much of the surrounding area is inconsistent with both the adopted comprehensive plans and the present zoning.
13. The request complies with the Snohomish County Subdivision Code, Chapter 30.41A SCC as well as the State Subdivision Code, RCW 58.17. The proposed plat complies with the established criteria therein and makes the appropriate provisions for public, health, safety and general welfare, for open spaces, drainage ways, streets or roads, alleys, other public ways, transit stops, potable water supplies, sanitary wastes, parks and recreation, playgrounds, schools and school grounds, and other planning features including safe walking conditions for students.
14. The proposed plat also meets Chapter 30.41A SCC requirements. A complete application for the proposed plat was received by PDS on May 31, 2005. The proposed plat as conditioned also meets the general requirements under Section 30.41A.100 with respect to health, safety and general welfare of the community as noted in this report. As proposed, the subject lots will not be subject to flood, inundation or swamp conditions. The lots as proposed are outside of all regulated flood hazard areas. As conditioned, the plat will meet all SCC 30.41A.210 design standards for roads.
15. The subject rural cluster subdivision (RCS) application has been reviewed for conformance with the RCS standards in Chapter 30.41C SCC. The applicant has provided the information required on an RCS development plan and preliminary plat, the latest versions of which were received by PDS on February 1, 2006 (Exhibit 15), and in an open space management plan (Exhibit 8) that is to be implemented by a homeowners' association. The RCS application meets all of the criteria required for preliminary approval listed in SCC 30.41C.200.
16. The request is consistent with Section 30.70.100 SCC, which requires, pursuant to RCW 36.70B.040, that all project permit applications be consistent with the GMACP and GMA-based county codes.
17. Any finding of fact in this decision which should be deemed a conclusion is hereby adopted as such.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Based on the findings of fact entered above, the following conclusions of law are entered.

1. The Examiner having fully reviewed the PDS staff report, hereby adopts said staff report as properly setting forth the issues, the land use requests, consistency with the existing regulations, policies, principles, conditions and their relationship to the request. It is therefore hereby adopted by the Examiner as a conclusion as if set forth in full herein, in order to avoid needless repetition.
2. The Department of Public Works recommends that the request be approved as to traffic use subject to conditions specified below herein.

3. The request is consistent with the (1) GMACP, GMA-based County codes, (2) the type and character of land use permitted on the site, (3) the permitted density, and (4) the applicable design and development standards.
4. Any conclusion in this decision which should be deemed a finding of fact is hereby adopted as such.

### **DECISION**

Based on the findings of fact and conclusions of law entered above, the decision of the Hearing Examiner on the application is as follows:

The request for a 15-lot rural cluster subdivision on 35.19 acres is hereby **CONDITIONALLY APPROVED**, subject to the following conditions:

### **CONDITIONS**

- A. The preliminary plat received by PDS on February 16, 2007 (Exhibit 6) shall be the approved plat configuration. Changes to the approved plat are governed by SCC 30.41A.330.
- B. Prior to initiation of any further site work; and/or prior to issuance of any development/construction permits by the county:
  - i. All site development work shall comply with the requirements of the plans and permits approved pursuant to Condition A, above.
  - ii. An archaeological survey shall be conducted prior to any excavation work. A copy of this survey shall be provided to the Stillaguamish Tribe and the County during the County review of the Construction plans.
  - iii. The applicant shall notify the Stillaguamish Tribe as to when plat construction work is going to occur and allow a Stillaguamish tribal monitor to be present during plat construction.
- C. The following additional restrictions and/or items shall be indicated on the face of the final plat:
  - i. "The lots within this subdivision will be subject to school impact mitigation fees for the Granite Falls School District No. 332 to be determined by the certified amount within the Base Fee Schedule in effect at the time of building permit application, and to be collected prior to building permit issuance, in accordance with the provisions of SCC 30.66C.010. Credit shall be given for one existing parcel. Lot 1 shall receive credit."
  - ii. Chapter 30.66B SCC requires the new lot mitigation payments in the amounts shown below for each single-family residential building permit:  
  
\$3799.29 per lot for mitigation of impacts on County roads paid to the County,  
  
\$250.00 per lot for mitigation of impacts on City streets for the City of Granite Falls paid to the City. Proof of payment shall be provided.

These payments are due prior to or at the time of each building permit issuance. Notice of these mitigation payments shall be contained in any deeds involving this subdivision, short subdivision of the lots therein or binding site plan. Once building permits have been issued all mitigation payments shall be deemed paid by PDS.

- iii. The developer shall pay the County \$0.00 per new dwelling unit as mitigation for parks and recreation impacts in accordance with Chapter 30.66A SCC; provided, however, the developer may elect to postpone payment of the mitigation requirement until issuance of a building permit for that lot.
- iv. The landscape buffers shall be maintained as vegetated buffers. The entire buffer width shall be maintained with sight obscuring vegetation.
- v. The lots in this subdivision do not qualify as duplex lots per Snohomish County Code.
- vi. An agricultural lands notice will be required on the final plat pursuant to SCC 30.32B.210 in the form prescribed by SCC 30.32B.220.
- vii. A mineral lands notice will be required on the final plat pursuant to SCC 30.32C.200 in the form prescribed by SCC 30.32B.210.

D. Prior to recording of the final plat:

- i. Rural frontage improvements shall be constructed along the parcel's frontage on 163<sup>rd</sup> Ave NE to the satisfaction of the County.
- ii. Covenants, deeds and homeowners association bylaws and other documents as appropriate, to be recorded prior to, or simultaneously with, final plat recording shall have been approved as to substance and completeness by the Department of Planning and Development Services, and shall at a minimum:
  - a. Establish all restricted open space as shown on the approved preliminary plat in separate tracts.
  - b. Establish a Homeowner's Association, guaranteeing maintenance of restricted open space in as much as such maintenance is required by the Open Space Management Plan (Exhibit 19).

E. In conformity with applicable standards and timing requirements:

- i. The preliminary landscape plan (Exhibit 8) shall be implemented. All required detention facility landscaping shall be installed in accordance with the approved landscape plan.

F. All development activity shall conform to the requirements of Chapter 30.63A SCC.

Nothing in this permit/approval excuses the applicant, owner, lessee, agent, successor or assigns from compliance with any other federal, state or local statutes, ordinances or regulations applicable to this project.

Preliminary plats which are approved by the county are valid for five (5) years from the date of approval and must be recorded within that time period unless an extension has been properly requested and granted pursuant to SCC 30.41A.300.

Decision issued this 9<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2007.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Ed Good, Deputy Hearing Examiner

### **EXPLANATION OF RECONSIDERATION AND APPEAL PROCEDURES**

The decision of the Hearing Examiner is final and conclusive with right of appeal to the County Council. However, reconsideration by the Examiner may also be sought by one or more parties of record. The following paragraphs summarize the reconsideration and appeal processes. For more information about reconsideration and appeal procedures, please see Chapter 30.72 SCC and the respective Examiner and Council Rules of Procedure.

#### **Reconsideration**

Any party of record may request reconsideration by the Examiner. A petition for reconsideration must be filed in writing with the Office of the Hearing Examiner, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, County Administration-East Building, 3000 Rockefeller Avenue, Everett, Washington, (Mailing Address: M/S #405, 3000 Rockefeller Avenue, Everett WA 98201) on or before **MAY 21, 2007**. There is no fee for filing a petition for reconsideration. **“The petitioner for reconsideration shall mail or otherwise provide a copy of the petition for reconsideration to all parties of record on the date of filing.” [SCC 30.72.065]**

A petition for reconsideration does not have to be in a special form but must: contain the name, mailing address and daytime telephone number of the petitioner, together with the signature of the petitioner or of the petitioner’s attorney, if any; identify the specific findings, conclusions, actions and/or conditions for which reconsideration is requested; state the relief requested; and, where applicable, identify the specific nature of any newly discovered evidence and/or changes proposed by the applicant.

The grounds for seeking reconsideration are limited to the following:

- (a) The Hearing Examiner exceeded the Hearing Examiner’s jurisdiction;
- (b) The Hearing Examiner failed to follow the applicable procedure in reaching the Hearing Examiner’s decision;
- (c) The Hearing Examiner committed an error of law;
- (d) The Hearing Examiner’s findings, conclusions and/or conditions are not supported by the record;
- (e) New evidence which could not reasonably have been produced and which is material to the decision is discovered; or
- (f) The applicant proposed changes to the application in response to deficiencies identified in the decision.

Petitions for reconsideration will be processed and considered by the Hearing Examiner pursuant to the provisions of SCC 30.72.065. Please include the County file number in any correspondence regarding this case.

### **Appeal**

An appeal to the County Council may be filed by any aggrieved party of record. Where the reconsideration process of SCC 30.72.065 has been invoked, no appeal may be filed until the reconsideration petition has been disposed of by the hearing examiner. An aggrieved party need not file a petition for reconsideration but may file an appeal directly to the County Council. If a petition for reconsideration is filed, issues subsequently raised by that party on appeal to the County Council shall be limited to those issues raised in the petition for reconsideration. Appeals shall be addressed to the Snohomish County Council but shall be filed in writing with the Department of Planning and Development Services, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, County Administration-East Building, 3000 Rockefeller Avenue, Everett, Washington (Mailing address: M/S #604, 3000 Rockefeller Avenue, Everett, WA 98201) on or before **MAY 23, 2007** and shall be accompanied by a filing fee in the amount of five hundred dollars (\$500.00); PROVIDED, that the filing fee shall not be charged to a department of the County or to other than the first appellant; and PROVIDED FURTHER, that the filing fee shall be refunded in any case where an appeal is dismissed without hearing because of untimely filing, lack of standing, lack of jurisdiction or other procedural defect. [SCC 30.72.070]

An appeal must contain the following items in order to be complete: a detailed statement of the grounds for appeal; a detailed statement of the facts upon which the appeal is based, including citations to specific Hearing Examiner findings, conclusions, exhibits or oral testimony; written arguments in support of the appeal; the name, mailing address and daytime telephone number of each appellant, together with the signature of at least one of the appellants or of the attorney for the appellant(s), if any; the name, mailing address, daytime telephone number and signature of the appellant's agent or representative, if any; and the required filing fee.

The grounds for filing an appeal shall be limited to the following:

- (a) The decision exceeded the Hearing Examiner's jurisdiction;
- (b) The Hearing Examiner failed to follow the applicable procedure in reaching his decision;
- (c) The Hearing Examiner committed an error of law; or
- (d) The Hearing Examiner's findings, conclusions and/or conditions are not supported by substantial evidence in the record. [SCC 30.72.080]

Appeals will be processed and considered by the County Council pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 30.72 SCC. Please include the County file number in any correspondence regarding the case.

---

### **Staff Distribution:**

Department of Planning and Development Services: David Radabaugh

<p>The following statement is provided pursuant to RCW 36.70B.130: "Affected property owners may request a change in valuation for property tax purposes notwithstanding any program of revaluation." A copy of this Decision is being provided to the Snohomish County Assessor as required by RCW 36.70B.130.</p>
---