SNOHOMISH IMPLEMENTATION COMMITTEE MEETING & SNO-STILLY LIO NTA DEVELOPMENT WORKSHOP

IMPLEMENTING REGIONAL PRIORITIES AND LOCAL CONTEXT FOR THE 2018-2022 ACTION AGENDA
• LEARN ABOUT NTA PROPOSALS FROM BOTH BASINS IN THE LIO
• REVIEW SUGGESTIONS FROM STAFF ANALYSES FOR NTA ALIGNMENT AND GAPS
• DISCUSS OPPORTUNITIES TO SYNERGIZE AND ENHANCE
• REVIEW NEXT STEPS IN SUBMISSION PROCESS AND ASK QUESTIONS
Better understanding of the natural world not only enhances all of us as human beings, but can also be harnessed for the better good, leading to improved health and quality of life.

Paul Nurse
British Scientist
### NTAs by Basin and Strategic Initiative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basin</th>
<th>Stormwater</th>
<th>Habitat and Chinook</th>
<th>Shellfish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stillaguamish</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snohomish</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined Basin NTAs</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>18</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
<td><strong>8</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2018 NTA OWNERS

• RICHARD VACIRCA
  • FOREST AND FISHERIES PROGRAM MANAGER WITH THE MT. BAKER-SNOQUALMIE NATIONAL FOREST

• BRETT SHATTUCK
  • RESTORATION ECOLOGIST WITH THE TULALIP TRIBES

• CHERY SULLIVAN AND KERRI LOVE
  • WA DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE’S DAIRY NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM
  • WATER QUALITY RESULTS MAP

• NEIL SHEA
  • FOREST AND FISHERIES WATERSHED SCIENTIST WITH TULALIP TRIBES

• ZACH BROWN
  • FLOODPLAIN SERVICES ENGINEER WITH SNOHOMISH COUNTY SURFACE WATER MANAGEMENT
OTHER NTA’S

1. ARE THERE OTHER PROJECTS FOLKS HAVE QUESTIONS ABOUT?

2. ARE THERE OTHER NTA OWNERS WHO WOULD LIKE TO GIVE A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF THEIR NTA’S?
A DEEPER LOOK
OPPORTUNITIES TO SYNERGIZE

• RIPARIAN RESTORATION EFFORTS
  • 2018-0246 (MASON CD)
  • 2018-0276 (SCD)
• MULTI-BENEFIT PROJECT PRIORITIZATION & IMPLEMENTATION EFFORTS
  • 2018-0613 (TULALIP TRIBES)
  • 2018-0499 (SNOHOMISH COUNTY SWM)

• ADDRESSING LOW FLOWS
  • 2018-0359 (USGS)
  • 2018-0970 (TULALIP TRIBES)
• PIC AND CONTROL OF FECAL BACTERIA
  • 2018-0181, 2018-0347 AND 0349 (USDA)
  • 2018-0848 (SCD)
  • 2018-0833 AND 0958 (SNOHOMISH COUNTY SWM)
SOUND-WIDE NTA’S

• DO WE WANT TO REVIEW PUGET SOUND NTA’S?

• STAFF RECOMMENDATION:
  • REVIEW ONLY THE REGIONAL NTA’S THAT HAVE SELECTED OUR LIO.
  • THERE ARE 23 OF THOSE.
LOCAL ALIGNMENT & GAPS

MIND THE GAP
Strategy 02.1: Integrated Planning

The goal of this strategy is to develop reach-scale integrated planning across land uses (agriculture, flood risk, and habitat) to incorporate future projections (e.g., climate change and growth) and resiliency planning. This effort will need to be linked to the ongoing processes that support land use planning, because regulatory updates are currently separate from ecosystem recovery efforts. This strategy will confirm that productive agriculture will be protected and communities will be safe from floods.

In the Integrated planning strategy, parties must first be willing to come to the table, benefits and challenges must be identified and communicated, and data for various land uses must be made available. These enabling conditions will allow development of strategic approaches for meeting community needs and goals. For agriculture, this may include land base needs, drainage and flood protection assurances, and an ongoing voice in planning processes. For developed areas, strategic plans could include better growth planning and flood protections for homes, businesses, and critical infrastructure. Finally, the ecosystem recovery community and tribes will likely look to existing salmon recovery plans that identify the most critical areas to restore through levee setback and riparian zone planting for fish.

Once each community's needs are transparent and better understood, local communities can work to define an integrated approach. With these agreements, projects will be implemented in lands that are identified as being the most appropriate and yield the biggest gains for the investment. Finally, monitoring, feedback, and conversations with each land use community will inform changes in iterative planning.

**FIGURE 10. INTEGRATED PLANNING STRATEGY RESULTS CHAIN**
NEXT STEPS
SOLICITATION FOR NEAR TERM ACTIONS FOR THE 2018–2022 IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

NTA DEVELOPMENT AND SUBMITTAL PROCESS

Determine Eligibility 11/13/17 – 12/22/17

Complete Pre-Registration By 12/22/17

Develop + Coordinate with LIO(s) 12/22/17 – 3/30/18

Submit Factsheets By 3/30/18
SNO-STILLY LOCAL PROCESS FOR 2018–2022 IMPLEMENTATION PLAN NEAR TERM ACTIONS

- **Info Session**: 11/16/17
- **NTA Development Workshop**: 1/17/18
- **Review of Draft Submittals**: February
- **NTA Refinement Workshop**: 3/14/18
- **Final Submission**: 3/30/18
DRAFT FACT SHEET REVIEW

• OPTION 1: DO NOT REVIEW DRAFT PROPOSALS
  • NUMBER OF NTA OWNERS/COMMITTEE MEMBERS: 5

• OPTION 2: REVIEW ALIGNMENT CRITERIA ONLY
  • NUMBER OF NTA OWNERS/COMMITTEE MEMBERS: 6

• STAFF RECOMMENDATION:
  • OPTION 2
  • OPTIONAL FOR THOSE NTA OWNERS WHO WOULD LIKE THE FEEDBACK
HOW DOES THE NTA ALIGN WITH THE REGIONAL PRIORITY APPROACH(ES)? (LIMIT 1,500 CHARACTERS, INCLUDING SPACES)

• ACTIONS WILL BE EVALUATED BASED ON HOW WELL THE NTA ALIGNS WITH THE ENTIRE REGIONAL PRIORITY APPROACH(ES) SELECTED.

• GUIDANCE, PLEASE REFER TO THE INFORMATION INCLUDED IN THE ENTIRE ROW OF THE REGIONAL PRIORITY APPROACH, INCLUDING OUTCOMES, PROPOSAL GUIDANCE, AND LOCAL CONTEXT.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO RECOVERY (LIMIT 500 CHARACTERS, INCLUDING SPACES)

• BRIEFLY DESCRIBE HOW THIS NTA WILL CONTRIBUTE TO PUGET SOUND ECOSYSTEM RECOVERY. PLEASE USE THESE QUESTIONS AS A GUIDE:

• HOW HAS THIS NTA DEMONSTRATED ECOLOGICAL, ECONOMIC, AND SOCIAL PROJECT BENEFITS IN RELATION TO THE DESIRED OUTCOME(S)?

• IS THIS NTA IN A KEY GEOGRAPHY FOR THE VITAL SIGN TARGET?

• WILL THE NTA MAKE AN IMPACT ON THE VITAL SIGN TARGET?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Tiers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alignment</strong> (with alignment with local LIO plans/local context and accelerates implementation of local priorities that would deliver the greatest contribution to recovery)</td>
<td>BEST (4) Outstanding (perfectly aligned and/or addresses identified gap(^6) and/or provides co-benefits(^7))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Probability of success: human</strong> (proponent justification that they have the appropriate expertise and the most appropriate partners are engaged for successful implementation)</td>
<td>Highly likely (appropriate expertise, appropriate partners engaged)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Probability of success: technical</strong> (proponent justification that the plan, timeframe, cost, and resources can be leveraged to achieve the desired outcome of the approach)</td>
<td>Highly likely (achievable goals per timeframe, appropriate capacity, appropriate resources)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Provide justification if orange or red.
Evaluating NTA’s

LIO Evaluation + SIAT Evaluation = FINAL TIER IN 2018 AA

April-May 2018 + May-July 2018 = August 2018
REVIEW EXPERTISE
FINAL LIO EVALUATIONS TO SI LEADS AND SIATS

• FINAL LIO EVALUATIONS WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE TO THE SIATS AND USED TO CALCULATE THE FINAL TIERS FOR NTAS. BASED ON YOUR CAPACITIES AND EXPECTATIONS DO YOU EXPECT YOUR LIO TO BE ABLE TO PROVIDE?

• OPTION 1: THE BASIC INFORMATION ON THE LIO EVALUATIONS. THIS WOULD BE THE FINAL TIER AND A JUSTIFICATION IF THE NTA WAS TIERED IN ONE OF THE TWO LOWEST CATEGORIES; OR

• OPTION 2: THE FULL SUITE OF LIO EVALUATION INFORMATION. THIS WOULD INCLUDE THE TIER FOR EACH CRITERIA THAT WAS USED IN THE LIO EVALUATIONS AND ASSOCIATED COMMENTS, FINAL TIER, AND A JUSTIFICATION IF THE NTA WAS TIERED IN ONE OF THE TWO LOWEST CATEGORIES.
### Full Submittal of Factsheet requirements

All final NTA Fact Sheets must be completed by **March 30, 2018**. NTA Fact Sheets will not be accepted after this deadline.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Brief description of information requested</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activity type</td>
<td>Select option from drop down menu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alignment of NTA with Regional Priority</td>
<td>Description of how the NTA aligns with the Regional Priority approach or approaches selected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>approach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activities and activity outputs</td>
<td>Identify the activities proposed to achieve Regional Priority approach outcomes, short-term results (activity outputs), activity output timeframe or timeframes, and activity output responsible partner or partners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner roles and experience</td>
<td>Name, role, and experience for each partner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total NTA timeframe</td>
<td>Total estimated time to complete the NTA (2 years, for example)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions to recovery</td>
<td>Description of how the NTA will contribute to Puget Sound ecosystem recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration</td>
<td>Description of collaborators engaged in the NTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geographic scope</td>
<td>Select from options from drop down menu for Salmon Recovery Watersheds, Counties, and Legislative Districts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated costs and funding</td>
<td>Total estimated costs, secured funding, and description to explain what is included in estimate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique identifier from other data systems</td>
<td>Select from database options, if applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachments</td>
<td>Optional: maps, photos, letter or letters of support. Required: letter or letters of partner commitment.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>