



**Community First**


**Ty Trenary, Sheriff**

### 2017 OPA Report Statistics

#### Corrections Personnel Complaints

- 53 – Total**
- 28 – Sustained
- 11 – Non-sustained
- 1 – Unfounded
- 3 – Exonerated
- 5 – Undetermined
- 5 – Pending

### Corrections Complaints




- 28 – Sustained
- 11 – Non-sustained
- 1 – Unfounded
- 3 – Exonerated
- 5 – Undetermined
- 5 – Pending

#### Law Enforcement Personnel Complaints

- 58 – Total**
- 27 – Sustained
- 10 – Non-sustained
- 1 – Unfounded
- 15 – Exonerated
- 3 – Undetermined
- 2 – Pending

### Law Enforcement Complaints



- 27 – Sustained
- 10 – Non-sustained
- 1 – Unfounded
- 15 – Exonerated
- 3 – Undetermined

#### Corrections Internal Investigations

- 3 – Total**
- 3 – Sustained
- 0 – Non-sustained

#### Law Enforcement Internal Investigations

- 1 – Total**
- 0 – Sustained
- 0 – Non-sustained
- 1 – Undetermined(D)

#### Shooting reviews

- 0 – Total**

#### In-Custody Death Reviews

- 2 – Total**
- 1 – Within Policy
- 1 – Pending



**Community First**

**Ty Trenary, Sheriff**

### Definitions of Investigation Dispositions

Every personnel complaint and/or internal investigation must have a Finding; which is defined as a conclusion reached with respect to each allegation after completion of the investigation. Findings must be one of the following:

#### **Unfounded**

The complainant admits to making a false allegation, the accused employee was not involved in the incident, or the incident did not occur.

#### **Exonerated**

The incident occurred, however, the employee's actions were justified, lawful, and proper.

#### **Non-Sustained**

- A. Cleared: There is sufficient evidence to prove the allegation is false or it is not supported by the facts.
- B. Inconclusive: There is insufficient evidence to either prove or disprove the allegation.
- C. The investigation revealed that the employee committed a violation(s) other than the original allegations(s). A new allegation would be alleged and a finding made.

#### **Sustained**

The allegation is supported by sufficient evidence to indicate that the employee committed one or more of the alleged acts.

#### **Undetermined**

This may involve but is not limited to the following:

- A. The complainant withdraws the complaint;
- B. The complainant cannot be located;
- C. The complainant is uncooperative;
- D. The accused member separates from the Office before the conclusion of the investigation.



Ty Trenary, Sheriff

**Community First**

**Current Trends**

67% of the 2017 complaints originated from internal sources within the department, leaving 33% of the complaints generated from the public.

The top three investigated potential policy violations from CITIZEN COMPLAINTS consist of the following:

1. Affirmatively Promoting a Positive Public Image= 26.7%
2. Knowing, Observing + Obeying all Written Directives, Policies and Procedures= 10.7%
3. Displaying Competent Performance + Achieving Competent Performance Results= 6.7%

The top three investigated potential policy violations from INTERNAL COMPLAINTS consist of the following:

1. Knowing, Observing + Obeying all Written Directives, Policies and Procedures= 10.2%
2. Use and Care of Office Property and Equipment= 8%
3. Insubordination= 8%

**Comparison to 2016**

- Corrections complaints filed in 2016=56 (5.36% decrease in 2017)
- Corrections Internal Investigations 2016=3 (equal to 2016)
- Law Enforcement complaints filed in 2016=83 (30.12% decrease in 2017)
- Law Enforcement Internal Investigations 2016=7 (85.71% decrease in 2017)
- Shooting Reviews 2016=3 (No Shooting Reviews in 2017)
- In Custody Death Reviews 2016=2 (equal to 2016)