

11-101457 LU



Eastin, Darryl

From: Tom Jamieson <tomjamieson@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 20, 2014 10:41 AM
To: Eastin, Darryl
Subject: Second Scoping Period for Point Wells EIS

Mr. Eastin,

I would like to register as a Party of Record to the Point Wells development, if I have not already been registered as one.

I have learned that Snohomish County has published notice of a second Scoping Comment period for the Point Wells development EIS. The notice states the second comment period is due to a lack of posting of the notice of the first period. Specifically, the SEPA environmental review public notice requirements of 30.61.110 SC were apparently not met for the first comment period. These requirements in turn reference general provisions for general notice requirements specified by 30.70.045 SC.

I am looking for a more detailed explanation of the non-compliance. Would you please cite the exact sections or paragraphs of 30.61.110 and or 30.70.045 that were not followed? Also, I would like to know how and when this non-compliance came to the attention of whoever ordered this second comment period.

Respectfully yours,

Tom Jamieson
19710 Richmond Beach Dr NW
tomjamieson@hotmail.com
206-300-7606