

Countryman, Ryan

From: Tom McCormick <tommccormick@mac.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 3:41 PM
To: Countryman, Ryan
Subject: Re: Accuracy of trip and employment assumptions

Ryan,

Thanks for giving me times to meet with you. Could you meet instead on April 1, 2 or 3?

Thank you.

Tom McCormick

> On Mar 10, 2015, at 9:05 AM, Countryman, Ryan <ryan.countryman@co.snohomish.wa.us> wrote:

>

> Hi Tom,

>

> I'll need to look into the traffic questions before I can answer. I also might not be able to give an answer until the SEPA assumptions are available; but I will at least confirm the timing when I know more.

>

> Regarding meeting next week, I am available Monday morning, Tuesday afternoon and all day Friday. I would be happy to meet you but please understand that I will have little new to share on the project.

>

> Ryan Countryman

> 425-388-3311 ext. 2304

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Tom McCormick [mailto:tommccormick@mac.com]

> Sent: Monday, March 09, 2015 10:01 PM

> To: Countryman, Ryan

> Cc: Killingstad, David

> Subject: Re: Accuracy of trip and employment assumptions

>

> Ryan,

>

> I am still waiting for a reply to the below email. Could you help with a reply please.

>

> Also, are you available sometime next week to meet with me to discuss Point Wells?

>

> Thank you.

>

> Tom McCormick

>

>

>> On Jan 28, 2015, at 2:36 PM, Tom McCormick <tommccormick@mac.com> wrote:

>>

>> The attached table appears to have errors. Could you please advise by email if the corrections shown are accurate.

>>

>> Also, it is puzzling how, with just 13 employees at Point Wells, there could be 314 ADTs (7-day average) on Richmond Beach Drive just south the County line in May 2014, as counted by City of Shoreline (see attached PDF). I recognize that some of the 314 ADTs would be from the 9 residences that enter Richmond Beach Drive north of the point where the traffic counts were taken. Perhaps your office could investigate this, or you could direct EA to do so. What if, for example, you determine that the 9 residences accounted for 15 trips each per day (135 total), the Point Wells work site accounted for 10 trips per employee per day (130 total), with the remaining 49 trips by curious or lost visitors (314 grand total). It would make one question whether the project's current trip generation assumption of 3-4 trips per day per residence is far too low, which I believe it is. Point Wells is a very remote site for which normal ITE trip generation tables are not realistic. Due to its uniquely remote location, an assumption of 6 - 8 trips per day per residence is more appropriate.

>>

>> Thank you.

>>

>> Tom McCormick

>>

>>

>> <FlySketchWorkflow-2015.01.27 16.17.38.tiff>

>>

>> <PastedGraphic-32.png>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>> <FlySketchWorkflow-2015.01.27 16.42.38.tiff>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>