Dear Mr. Camp:

We unfortunately are not able to attend the hearings this next week, and are therefore submitting some of our thoughts on the proposed BSRE project via email.

As Woodway residents for the past 25 years, we are strongly opposed to the BSRE high-density plan to develop the Point Wells property. We ask that you support Snohomish County’s recommendation to reject the BSRE proposal.

When we moved to the Town of Woodway back in 1993, we were very deliberate in selecting the location of our home for many of the obvious reasons: Our town is quiet, people enjoy walking and bicycling through our town (the lack of traffic allows for this), and the town’s dark skies policy. When we want to visit a high-density urban center, we can travel to downtown Vancouver or Seattle. Otherwise, we enjoy being at home in Woodway.

Our town of just over 1,000 residents is threatened by the BSRE proposal, which proposes a second access road through our town. When a prior Woodway development was being planned, professional city planners told us that they include in their planning the expectation of 10 vehicles trips per day per household. We’re not sure whether this is correct, but considering the magnitude of the BSRE proposal (with over 3,000 living units?), the increased traffic could not be handled by even two access roads. This project is way too big for the setting.

We were living in Woodway when portions of the cliffs supporting some of our westerly-facing properties were swept away by a landslide during the winter of 1996-1997. To consider using 116th as a second access road is unreasonable, and we believe potentially dangerous for the community for numerous reasons. Think evacuation in the event of an emergency. Think Oso, and the loss of life and property resulting from that community’s unfortunate planning. Think of all of the landslides along the train route between Everett and Seattle and the multitude of cancelled train trips resulting from those landslides.

Additionally, our roads are narrow and could not support the significant increase in traffic to service this current BSRE proposal. It would destroy our town, as it has been known since its inception, and would impact not only the Woodway residents, but also all of our neighbors who have come to enjoy our town as a place of solitude for them as they come to ride their bikes and walk in our community.
Because Snohomish County, and many Shoreline and Woodway residents, will have detailed the long list of reasons as to why this proposal is significantly problematic, we will not be redundant with another long list of our concerns. Suffice it to say, the scope and size of this proposal, with regard to number of living units, retail units, building height, etc. etc. etc., does not fit in this location. **We ask that you consider all of the concerns presented in opposition to this plan, and choose to support the Snohomish County Planning Staff’s recommendation to reject the developer’s application.**

Sincerely,

Kevin and Aileen Hutt  
23717 112th Place West  
Woodway, WA  98020  
aghutt1@msn.com  
206-542-7288  
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