

Point Wells 11/13/17

Gary, Paul, Matt, Steve, Jacques, Doug, Dan
Dobesh, McElroy

Steve O: Describes approach to respond
re: January & June deadlines

Dan hands out Gantt chart (to give back)

Everything thru Paul for scheduling

* Need to confirm all documents & dates

Gary: When does PDS need extension request?

Dobesh June date most important, depends
on next submittal, PDS needs time to
review or respond

* DSRE to work w/Paul on dates

Paul to work w/EPA on chapters

①

Gary: Parking if next code, no study
- correct -

Paul: 2nd road needs enough info to show feasibility

Gary: Hart Cradler could work w/Paul to
coordinate w/ other reviewers

Dobesh
Need to show feasibility & address impacts

Paul: Re: remediation need enough to show that
it can occur, not details for construction

Gary: Assumption for site is that it has
been remediated, new study

Matt: 2013 remedial letter called for same study

Doug: Remediation design of ~~new~~ value since
different entity to clean up

Paul: Remediation & drainage infiltration cannot
be separated

Remediation to be done must work w/drainage

Doug: Thought Co. would defer to DOE review

Paul: Cannot separate impacts

②

Doug: Is there a way to defer entirely to DOE?
Paul: ~~Maybe~~ depends on issue

McLary: Report needs to be enough to support drainage anywhere assumption that infiltration acceptable

Discussion EODS deviation processes & timing

Gary: Short plat on BSP! Can hold off on switch due to issue w/shoreline requirements?

Dobesh: Need to show tracts, as part of plan

Gary: Could BSP+SP go concurrently?

Reason for SP was to establish record before shoreline trip limit

If SP dismissed, then lost argument

Dobesh: Need something moving forward that shows tracts

Discussion Sound transit platform & what would be required

③

Follow up

* Set up mtg w/ Sleight on continuing requirements

④