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**PURPOSE:**
To adopt amendments to Title 30 of the Snohomish County Code relating to streamline the methodology for allowing grading activities within two feet of a property line.

**BACKGROUND:**
The recommended code amendments streamline the methodology for allowing grading activities within two feet of a property line. Several of the code amendments would also make housekeeping changes.

PDS briefed the Planning Commission on the proposed code amendments on September 25, 2018. The Planning Commission held a public hearing on October 23, 2018 and recommended approval of the proposed code amendments contained in the ordinance transmitted with this ECAF package.

Additionally, an Executive-recommended amendment is proposed to allow for time-limited retroactive applicability of the grading activity code amendments through an opt-in provision.
FISCAL IMPLICATIONS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXPEND: FUND, AGY, ORG, ACTY, OBJ, AU</th>
<th>CURRENT YR</th>
<th>2ND YR</th>
<th>1ST 6 YRS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REVENUE: FUND, AGY, ORG, REV, SOURCE</th>
<th>CURRENT YR</th>
<th>2ND YR</th>
<th>1ST 6 YRS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DEPARTMENT FISCAL IMPACT NOTES:
No fiscal impacts anticipated.

BUDGET REVIEW: Analyst Administrator Recommend Approval
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</tr>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ORIGINAL</th>
<th>Start</th>
<th>End</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMENDMENT</td>
<td>Start</td>
<td>End</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONTRACTOR NAME & ADDRESS (City/State only):

APPROVED:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RISK MANAGEMENT</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COMMENTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
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PROSECUTING ATTORNEY - AS TO FORM:
Yes No

OTHER DEPARTMENTAL REVIEW / COMMENTS:

ELECTRONIC ATTACHMENTS:

- G:\ECAF\Dept\05_PDE\ECAF_Ground Disturbance Provisions\ECAF 2005_PDE\ECAF_Ground Disturbance Provisions.pdf
- G:\ECAF\Dept\05_PDE\ECAF_Ground Disturbance Provisions\Ordinance 2005_PDE\ECAF_Ground Disturbance Provisions.docx
- G:\ECAF\Dept\05_PDE\ECAF_Ground Disturbance Provisions\Planning Commission Recommendation Letter 2005_PDE\ECAF_Ground Disturbance Provisions.docx
- G:\ECAF\Dept\05_PDE\ECAF_Ground Disturbance Provisions\Amendment 1 2005_PDE\ECAF_Ground Disturbance Provisions.docx
- G:\ECAF\Dept\05_PDE\ECAF_Ground Disturbance Provisions\Summary Notice 2005_PDE\ECAF_Ground Disturbance Provisions.docx
- G:\ECAF\Dept\05_PDE\ECAF_Ground Disturbance Provisions\Capital Facility Development Cost Analysis Summary 2005_PDE\ECAF_Ground Disturbance Provisions.docx
- G:\ECAF\Dept\05_PDE\ECAF_Ground Disturbance Provisions\Housing Job Matrix 2005_PDE\ECAF_Ground Disturbance Provisions.docx
- G:\ECAF\Dept\05_PDE\ECAF_Ground Disturbance Provisions\Index of Records 2005_PDE\ECAF_Ground Disturbance Provisions.docx

NON-ELECTRONIC ATTACHMENTS:

- Ordinance
- Planning Commission Letter
- Amendment 1
- Summary Notice
- Capital Facility Development Cost Analysis Summary
- Housing Job Matrix
- Index of Records (A CD will be delivered to Council staff separately)
WHEREAS, counties and cities that are required to plan under the Growth Management Act (GMA), chapter 36.70A of the Revised Code of Washington (RCW), must ensure that their comprehensive plans and development regulations encourage economic development, encourage availability of affordable housing, and reduce sprawl; and

WHEREAS, the Snohomish County GMA Comprehensive Plan (GMACP) – General Policy Plan (GPP) directs Snohomish County to provide for expeditious and efficient development, land use practices that reduce housing costs, and a regulatory environment that facilitates growth of the local economy; and

WHEREAS, chapter 30.63B of the Snohomish County Code (SCC) establishes land disturbing activity regulations for development, including standard setbacks, cutting and filling of materials and maximum slopes; and

WHEREAS, chapter 30.63B SCC currently restricts the cutting and filling of materials within two feet of a property line on a development site unless authorization is granted by adjoining properties that may be affected by the activity and certain requirements are met by the project proponent; and

WHEREAS, Snohomish County (the “County”) seeks to promote the delivery of more affordable housing throughout Snohomish County by allowing more efficient use of land; and

WHEREAS, the County seeks to maintain flexible development regulations; and

WHEREAS, the County seeks to safeguard the health, safety, and general welfare of all communities in the County through appropriate land use and development controls; and

WHEREAS, the proposed code amendments will provide a streamlined allowance for cuts and fills within two feet of a property line on a development site when an applicant demonstrates that the integrity and soil stability of adjoining properties is maintained and complies with other applicable construction regulations; and

WHEREAS, Snohomish County Planning and Development Services (PDS) has conducted early and continuous public participation in developing the proposed amendments to title 30 SCC that are contained in this ordinance; and
WHEREAS, on September 25, 2018, the Snohomish County Planning Commission (the
"Planning Commission") was briefed by PDS staff about the proposed code amendments
contained in this ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on October 23, 2018, to
receive public testimony concerning the code amendments contained in this ordinance; and

WHEREAS, at the conclusion of the Planning Commission’s public hearing, the Planning
Commission deliberated on the proposed code amendments contained in this ordinance and
voted to recommend amendments to the County code relating to standard setbacks for land
disturbing activities as shown in its recommendation letter dated November 5, 2018; and

WHEREAS, the County Council held a public hearing on ___________ 2018,
and considered public comment and the entire record related to the proposed code
amendments contained in this ordinance; and

WHEREAS, following the public hearing, the County Council deliberated on the
proposed code amendments contained in this ordinance;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED:

Section 1. The County Council adopts the following findings in support of this ordinance:

A. The foregoing recitals are adopted as findings as if set forth in full herein.

B. This ordinance will amend title 30 SCC concerning standard setbacks for land disturbing
activities, including cuts and fills, maximum slopes, and related citations and regulations.

C. The purpose of the proposed code amendments is to provide additional flexibility for grading
activities within two feet of property lines, which will facilitate efficient and economical land
use, particularly for housing development, while serving to safeguard public health and
safety.

D. In developing the proposed code amendments, the County considered the goals of the
GMA, specifically those goals related to reducing sprawl, encouraging affordable housing,
and promoting economic development. The proposed code amendments are consistent
with:

1. GMA Goal 2: “Reduce sprawl. Reduce the inappropriate conversion of undeveloped land
into sprawling, low-density development.”

2. GMA Goal 4: “Housing. Encourage the availability of affordable housing to all economic
segments of the population of this state, promote a variety of residential densities and
housing types, and encourage preservation of existing housing stock.”

3. GMA Goal 5: “Economic development. Encourage economic development throughout
the state that is consistent with adopted comprehensive plans, promote economic
opportunity for all citizens of this state, especially for unemployed and for disadvantaged
persons, promote the retention and expansion of existing businesses and recruitment of new businesses, recognize regional differences impacting economic development opportunities, and encourage growth in areas experiencing insufficient economic growth, all within the capacities of the state’s natural resources, public services, and public facilities."

E. The proposed code amendments will comply with and implement the following goals, objectives, and policies contained in the County’s GMACP – GPP by providing flexibility for additional infill development, facilitating more affordable housing, promoting economic development, allowing more efficient use of land, and utilizing established engineering practices to protect the health, safety, and general welfare of the public:

1. Housing Goal 1: “Ensure that all county residents have the opportunity to obtain safe, healthy, and affordable housing.”

2. Housing Objective 1.B: “Ensure that a broad range of housing types and affordability levels is available in urban and rural areas.”

3. Housing Objective 1.C: “Make adequate provisions for the existing and projected housing needs of all economic segments of the population.”

4. Housing Goal 2: “Ensure the vitality and character of existing residential neighborhoods.”

5. Housing Objective 2.A: “Promote opportunities for all county residents to reside in safe and decent neighborhoods.”

6. Housing Goal 3: “Land use policies and regulations should contribute as little as possible to the cost of housing.”

7. Housing Objective 3.A: “Encourage land use practices, development standards, and building permit requirements that reduce housing production costs.”

8. Land Use Goal 2: “Establish development patterns that use urban land more efficiently.”

9. Land Use Objective 2.C: “Encourage intensification and revitalization of existing and planned commercial and industrial areas.”

10. Land Use Objective 2.E: “Provide for reasonable flexibility in land use regulation and planned mixing of uses, where appropriate, while maintaining adequate protection for existing neighborhoods.”

11. Economic Development Objective 2.A: “Develop and maintain a regulatory system that is fair, understandable, coordinated and timely.”

12. Economic Development Policy 2.A.2: “Snohomish County should stress predictability but maintain enough flexibility in the Comprehensive Plan and development codes to allow for timely response to unanticipated and desirable developments.”
13. Natural Environment Goal 1: "Continue existing and develop new county plans and programs which establish priorities to protect and enhance the natural environment through a coordinated policy framework to maintain and improve the quality of life for Snohomish County. The policy framework below provides a non-exclusive list of the core priorities and strategies that must be addressed in all plans and programs that affect the natural environment."

14. Natural Environment Goal 8: "Protect public health and safety by minimizing the potential for physical injury and property damage."

F. The proposed code amendments are consistent with the record:

1. This ordinance will amend SCC 30.63B.130 to eliminate the standard setbacks for cuts and fills within two feet of a site boundary line. This will resolve issues that have been encountered with existing regulations that inadvertently discourage or prevent property owners from maximizing development potential. The amendments to this section of code will provide flexibility for additional infill development, facilitate more affordable housing, promote economic development, allow more efficient use of land, and protect the health, safety, and general welfare of the public. Additionally, amendments to this section of code will include technical corrections and housekeeping changes to improve readability and regulatory implementation.

2. This ordinance will amend SCC 30.63B.200 to specify that any grading within two feet of a site boundary line require engineered construction plans and may require a geotechnical report that demonstrate protection of adjoining properties. The amendment will provide a simplified review process for such grading. Additionally, amendments to this section of code will include corrections to land disturbance citations to the International Building Code (IBC) that have changed since the 2006 IBC and were inadvertently not amended in the last major revision of chapter 30.63B SCC.

3. This ordinance will amend SCC 30.63B.220, 30.63B.230, 30.63B.240, and 30.63B.250 to correct land disturbance citations to the IBC that have changed since the 2006 IBC and were inadvertently not amended in the last major revision of chapter 30.63B SCC.

G. The proposed code amendments are consistent with the record as set forth in the PDS Supplemental Staff Report dated October 5, 2018.

H. Procedural requirements:

1. The proposal is a Type 3 legislative action under SCC 30.73.010 and 30.73.020.

2. The public participation process used in the adoption of the proposed code amendments has complied with all applicable requirements of the GMA and SCC.

3. In accordance with RCW 30.70A.106(1), a notice of intent to adopt the proposed code amendments was transmitted to the Washington State Department of Commerce for distribution to state agencies on August 17, 2018.
4. State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), chapter 43.21C RCW, requirements with respect to this non-project action have been satisfied through the completion of an environmental checklist and the issuance of a determination of non-significance on September 12, 2018.

5. As required by RCW 30.70A.370, the Washington State Attorney General last issued an advisory memorandum in December 2015 entitled “Advisory Memorandum: Avoiding Unconstitutional Takings of Private Property” to help local governments avoid unconstitutional takings of private property. The process outlined in the State Attorney General's 2015 advisory memorandum was used by the County in objectively evaluating the regulatory changes in this ordinance.

Section 2. The County Council makes the following conclusions:

A. The proposal is consistent with Washington State law and Snohomish County Code.

B. The proposal is consistent with the GMACP and with the goals, objectives, and policies of the GPP.

C. The regulations proposed by this ordinance do not result in an unconstitutional taking of private property for a public purpose.

D. The County complied with the state and local public participation requirements under the GMA and chapter 30.73 SCC.

Section 3. The County Council bases its findings and conclusions on the entire record of the County Council, including all testimony and exhibits. Any finding, which should be deemed a conclusion, and any conclusion which should be deemed a finding, is hereby adopted as such.

Section 4. Snohomish County Code Section 30.63B.130, last amended by Ordinance 15-103 on January 11, 2016, is amended to read:

30.63B.130 Standard setbacks and maximum slopes for cuts and fills.

(1) Before performing any land disturbing activity subject to a land disturbing activity permit, the applicant shall mark on the site and show on the land disturbing activity site plan the limits of all proposed land disturbing activities, trees and native vegetation to be retained, and drainage courses, so that setbacks can be determined. Cut and fill slopes shall be set back from site boundaries in accordance with this section. Setback dimensions shall be horizontal distances measured perpendicular to the site boundary.

(2) The top of cut slopes shall not be nearer to a site boundary line than 20 percent of the vertical height of the cut slope (and in no event nearer than two feet from the boundary line). The setback shall be increased when necessary to stabilize any required subsurface drainage or surcharge, as determined by the geotechnical engineering report, soils engineering report, or engineering geology report pursuant to SCC 30.63B.220 through 30.63B.240.

(3) The toe of fill slopes shall not be made nearer to the site boundary line than 50 percent of the vertical height of the fill slope (but in no event nearer than two feet from the boundary line).
Cuts and fills shall be set back a minimum of two feet from the property line unless the
both of the following are provided:

(a) A construction easement, written agreement or letter of authorization from all the affected
property owners allowing a setback of less than two feet; and

(b) For any proposed cut, fill, rockery, or retaining wall within six inches of a site
boundary line, a survey by a land surveyor licensed in Washington State shall be
completed that ensures compliance with construction and land disturbing activity site plans prior
to construction in the affected area.

(5) The grades and cuts and fills established on the land disturbing activity plan shall be
prepared based on topographic data obtained to comply with SCC 30.63A.400 and volume I of
the Drainage Manual.

Section 5. Snohomish County Code Section 30.63B.200, last amended by Amended
Ordinance 17-070 on November 1, 2017, is amended to read:

30.63B.200 Land disturbing activities and projects requiring engineered construction
plans.

(1) The following land disturbing activities require the submittal of construction plans prepared
by and stamped by an engineer licensed in the State of Washington:

(a) All grading activity within two feet of a site boundary line;

(b) All land disturbing activity in excess of 5,000 cubic yards;

(c) All land disturbing activity located within public or private roads and their rights-of-
way, tracts, or easements;

(d) All land disturbing activity that is subject to environmental review under chapter
30.61 SCC or is related to development activity that is subject to environmental review under
chapter 30.61 SCC;

(e) All land disturbing activity projects that require civil engineering, as determined by
the department pursuant to subtitle 30.5 SCC and IBC sections 1802.4, 1803.3, 1802.6,
1803.6, and 1804.5(2);

(f) All land disturbing activity that has drainage impacts that are required to be mitigated
by construction of detention, water quality treatment (including low impact development best
management practices used to meet requirements of Minimum Requirement 6 per the Drainage
Manual), and/or bioretention systems; and

(g) All land disturbing activity that may cause impacts to wetlands or streams as
described in chapter 30.63A SCC or volume I of the Drainage Manual.

(2) Engineered construction plans for the land disturbing activities identified in subsection (1)
of this section shall also comply with chapter 30.52A SCC and the EDDS.

Section 6. Snohomish County Code Section 30.63B.220, last amended by Ordinance
15-103 on January 11, 2016, is amended to read:

30.63B.220 Geotechnical engineering report.

If a geotechnical engineering report is required by SCC 30.63B.210 or chapter 18 of the IBC,
the applicant’s geotechnical engineer, civil engineer, or engineering geologist shall inspect and
determine the suitability of the prepared ground to receive fills and the stability of cut slopes with
respect to soil, hydrologic, and geologic conditions. This information shall be incorporated in the
engineering report. The geotechnical engineering report shall also evaluate the need for
subdrains or other groundwater drainage devices. To verify safety, the department may require
testing for required compaction, soil bearing capacity, stability of all finished slopes, and the
adequacy of structural fills as a condition of permit approval. The required content of the
geotechnical engineering report is contained in section (1802.6) 1803.6 of the IBC and volume

Section 7. Snohomish County Code Section 30.63B.230, last amended by Ordinance
15-103 on January 11, 2016, is amended to read:

30.63B.230 Soils engineering report.
When required by chapters 16, 18, and 33 of the IBC for expansive soils, questionable soils
and the potential for soils near high groundwater, a soils engineering report shall be required.
The required content of the soils engineering report is contained in sections 1613, (1802.6)
1803.6, and 3304 of the IBC and volume I, chapter 3 of the Drainage Manual. The report also
shall include the following:
(1) Data regarding the nature, distribution, site classification, and strength of existing soils;
(2) Conclusions and recommendations for land disturbing activity procedures identified in the
reports required by SCC 30.63B.210 or chapters 16, 18, and 33 of the IBC;
(3) Design criteria for corrective measures, including structural fills, when necessary due to
subsurface soils or groundwater conditions;
(4) An analysis of the adequacy of affected soils for the intended use of the site as affected by
soils engineering factors;
(5) An analysis that describes the hydraulic conductivity, cation exchange capacity, depth to
seasonal high water table, and groundwater flow direction and gradient within the soils; and
(6) A determination if it is feasible to infiltrate stormwater into the underlying site soils as part
of the LID BMP selection or design for the site, without adversely affecting adjoining or off-site
properties.

Section 8. Snohomish County Code Section 30.63B.240, added by Amended Ordinance
10-023 on June 9, 2010, is amended to read:

30.63B.240 Engineering geology report.
When required by chapters 16 and 18 of the IBC to analyze soil characteristics due to the
location of on-site faults, an engineering geology report shall be required. The report shall
include an adequate description of the geology of the site, conclusions, and recommendations
regarding the effect of geologic conditions on the proposed development, and an analysis of the
adequacy for the intended use of sites to be developed by the proposed land disturbing activity,
as affected by geologic factors. The required content of the engineering geology report is
contained in sections 1613 or (1802) 1803 of the IBC as applicable.

Section 9. Snohomish County Code Section 30.63B.250, added by Amended Ordinance
10-023 on June 9, 2010, is amended to read:

30.63B.250 Liquefaction report.
Based on the soil strength identified in the soils engineering report, the department may
require a geotechnical or geologic investigation and report in accordance with section (1802.4)
1803 of the IBC, which shall address the potential for liquefaction.
Section 10. Severability and savings. If any section, sentence, clause, or phrase of this
ordinance shall be held to be invalid or unconstitutional by the Growth Management Hearings
Board, or a court of competent jurisdiction, such invalidity or unconstitutionality shall not affect
the validity or constitutionality of any other section, sentence, clause, or phrase of this
ordinance. Provided, however, that if any section, sentence, clause, or phrase of this ordinance
is held to be invalid by the Board or court of competent jurisdiction, then the section, sentence,
clause, or phrase in effect prior to the effective date of this ordinance shall be in full force and
effect for that individual section, sentence, clause, or phrase as if this ordinance had never been
adopted.

PASSED this ___ day of __________, 2018.

SNOHOMISH COUNTY COUNCIL
Snohomish County, Washington

______________________________
Council Chair

______________________________
Asst. Clerk of the Council

( ) APPROVED
( ) EMERGENCY
( ) VETOED

DATE: ______________________

______________________________
County Executive

ATTEST:

Approved as to form only:

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

ORDINANCE NO. 18-__
RELATING TO GROWTH MANAGEMENT; CONCERNING LAND DISTURBING ACTIVITIES; AMENDING
CHAPTER 30.63B OF THE SNOHOMISH COUNTY CODE
Page 8 of 8
SNOHOMISH COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

November 5, 2018

Snohomish County Council
County Administration Building
M/S 609, 3000 Rockefeller Avenue
Everett, WA 98201-4046

SUBJECT: Planning Commission recommendations on proposed amendments related to reforming ground disturbance provisions

Dear Snohomish County Council,

On behalf of the Snohomish County Planning Commission, I am forwarding our recommendations which would amend the Snohomish County Code (SCC) regarding ground disturbance regulations. The Planning Commission had a briefing on September 25, 2018 and conducted a public hearing on October 23, 2018 to consider a proposal that would reform and update ground disturbance provisions in Title 30 SCC.

The primary purpose of the code amendments is to streamline the methodology for allowing grading activities within two feet of a property line. Several of the code amendments would also make housekeeping changes.

Three individuals provided public testimony on the proposed code amendments, two of whom opposed the proposal and one of whom favored the proposal. The Planning Commission closed public testimony and concluded deliberations on October 23, 2018.

PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION

The Planning Commission recommends approval of the proposed code amendments after considering information presented during the public hearing process:

Main Motion was made by Commissioner Temple and seconded by Commissioner Hannam recommending approval of the proposed code amendments contained in the staff report with supported findings and conclusions as written.

Vote:
6 in favor (Ash, Everett, Hannam, Langston, Temple, and Wold)
2 opposed (Simmonds and Norcott)
0 abstentions

Motion passed

Respectfully submitted,

SNOHOMISH COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION
Jim Langston, Chair

cc: Dave Somers, Snohomish County Executive
    Barbara Mock, Director, Planning and Development Services
**Snohomish County: Analysis of Building and Land Use Regulation Effects on Housing and Jobs**

**Proposed Regulation:**

Ordinance No. 18-____, RELATING TO GROWTH MANAGEMENT; CONCERNING LAND DISTURBING ACTIVITIES; AMENDING CHAPTER 30.63B OF THE SNOHOMISH COUNTY CODE

**Date:** December 6, 2018

**Staff Contact:** Stephen Fesler, PDS, Ext. 2053

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Increase</th>
<th>Decrease</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Uncertain</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Housing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity/Targets</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not impacted by proposal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of housing devpt:</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>On balance, housing costs are likely to remain neutral</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Infrastructure</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not impacted by proposal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Site</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Potential for slight decrease in per unit costs related to design</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Building const.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Potential for slight decrease in per unit costs related to design</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Fees</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not impacted by proposal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Yield</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increase potential residential development capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not impacted by proposal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Jobs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity/Targets</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not impacted by proposal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of com’l/ind devpt:</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not impacted by proposal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Infrastructure</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not impacted by proposal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Site</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Potential for slight decrease in costs related to design</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Building const.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Potential for slight decrease in costs related to design</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Fees</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not impacted by proposal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Yield</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increase potential development capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to Create Jobs</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not impacted by proposal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Family Wage Jobs</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not impacted by proposal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion:**

This is a non-project proposal to amend Title 30 of the Snohomish County Code (SCC) to streamline the methodology for allowing grading activities within two feet of a property line. Several of the proposed code amendments would also make housekeeping changes.
**Snohomish County Capital Facility Development Cost Analysis Summary**

**Project/Document Title:** Ordinance No. 18-__, RELATING TO GROWTH MANAGEMENT; CONCERNING LAND DISTURBING ACTIVITIES; AMENDING CHAPTER 30.63B OF THE SNOHOMISH COUNTY CODE

**Date:** December 6, 2018

**Primary Staff Contact:** Stephen Fesler, Ext. 2053

**General Cost Analysis Summary:**
This is a non-project proposal to amend Title 30 of the Snohomish County Code (SCC) to streamline the methodology for allowing grading activities within two feet of a property line. Several of the code amendments would also make housekeeping changes. The proposal is not anticipated to increase the demand for county capital facilities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Necessary Facility</th>
<th>Quantification/Qualification of Anticipated Cost:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>County Funded Impacts – <strong>None anticipated.</strong> Other Fund Sources Impacts – <strong>None.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads &amp; Transit</td>
<td>County Funded Impacts – <strong>None anticipated.</strong> Other Fund Sources Impacts – <strong>None.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Water</td>
<td>County Funded Impacts – <strong>None anticipated.</strong> Other Fund Sources Impacts – <strong>None.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools</td>
<td>County Funded Impacts – <strong>None. Funding of schools is the responsibility of the local district.</strong> Other Fund Sources Impacts – <strong>None.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric Power</td>
<td>County Funded Impacts – <strong>None. Funding of electric power is the responsibility of the local district or city.</strong> Other Fund Sources Impacts – <strong>None.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Water</td>
<td>County Funded Impacts – <strong>None. Funding of public water is the responsibility of the local district or city.</strong> Other Fund Sources Impacts – <strong>None.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wastewater</td>
<td>County Funded Impacts – <strong>None. Funding of wastewater treatment is the responsibility of the local district or city.</strong> Other Fund Sources Impacts – <strong>None.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>