

**Lord Hill Regional Park  
Study Group Meeting 3/08/2018  
Gary Weikel Meeting Room  
10:00 am – 3:00 pm**

**Purpose:** The Study Group will meet and discuss the two Alternatives that have been drafted from cumulative feedback. Participants will get a chance to present objectives and trail use to other Study Group members and participate in a charrette to evaluate the Trail Use Plan.

**Agenda:**

1. Introductions – 5 minutes
2. Study Group Review – 20 minutes
  - a. Regional Park Designation
  - b. 1988 Master Plan Review
  - c. Concerns and Issues
    1. What's been addressed
    2. What's left to address
3. Data Review – 45 minutes
  - a. User Counts
  - b. Traffic Counts
  - c. Survey Results
  - d. Pilchuck Audubon - Group Presentation and Bird Blitz Summary
  - e. Washington Native Plant Society – Group Presentation and Plant Survey Summary
4. Break – 10 minutes
5. User group information – 100-120 minutes
  - a. Each user group will get 10 minutes to introduce themselves, how they utilize Lord Hill Regional Park, identify important trails used and important connections, and what type of outcome they want to see in the Lord Hill Regional Park Site and Management Plan. Come prepared, presentations will be ended at the 10 minute mark.
    1. Lord Hill Homeowners
    2. Friends of Lord Hill
    3. Lord Hill Hikers

4. Lord Hill Park Advocates
5. Snohomish Cycling
6. Lord Hill Mountain Bikers
7. Evergreen Mountain Bike Alliance
8. Washington Trails Association
9. Save Lord Hill Park
10. Back Country Horsemen of Washington
11. Everett Mountaineers
12. Cascade Orienteering Club
13. Geo-caching

6. Break (Lunch will be provided) – 5 minutes

7. Site and Management Plan Charrette– 1 hour and 40 minutes

a. Trail Evaluation Criteria – 10 minutes of table discussion, 10 minutes of group reporting per each question

1. **Does the trail pose a threat to surface water or other natural features?** If a trail contains steep erosion prone slopes, crosses large wetland areas, or is subject to intermittent stream flow along its length, it will be given a high priority for re-routing or closure. **Was the trail identified by one or more of the user groups as a valuable trail?** If a trail contains interesting features or is particularly liked by or well suited to a specific use it will be given a high priority for preservation and/or enhancement.
2. **Does the trail serve a critical function in the overall trail system?** If a trail is determined to provide a critical link between different areas of the site or provide an essential recreational component, it will be given a high priority for preservation and/or enhancement.
3. **Does the trail offer variety and/or a unique user experience?** If a trail exposes users to a variety of natural features or a special site feature, it will be given a high priority for preservation and/or enhancement. Conversely, if a trail contains limited variety, lacks special features, and/or traverses a wetland or other critical area without adequate infrastructure, it will be given a higher priority for closure.
4. **Would closing the trail expand the size of an internal habitat area?** If eliminating a particular trail or trail segment has the potential to increase the size of a trail free habitat area, it will be given a higher priority for closure.